

THE NATIONAL VANGUARD: THE WAY FORWARD



**By
Colin Jordan**

**THE
NATIONAL
VANGUARD:
The Way Forward**

By Colin Jordan

Revisionist Books

The National Vanguard: The Way Forward

First published 2011

This edition 2018

Revisionist Books
revisionistbooks.com

ISBN 978-1-64633-612-8

The National Vanguard: The Way Forward



Contents

INTRODUCTION.....	1
Part 1: DEMOCRACY BRINGS THE POLICE STATE.....	2
Part 2: THE WAY AHEAD UNITY: ILLUSION AND REALITY.....	21
Part 3: UNITY ILLUSION AND REALITY RELIGION: THE HEART OF THE MATTER.....	32
Part 4: FOLK.....	48
Part 5: EUGENICS.....	61
Part 6: IS ELECTIONEERING: THE WAY TO WIN?.....	76
BIOGRAPHICAL NOTE.....	97



Colin Jordan (left) with George Lincoln Rockwell, Costwolds, England, 1962.



INTRODUCTION

The National Vanguard: The Way Forward was first published as a series in Colin Jordan's newsletter, *Gothic Ripples*. The articles map out the author's arguments and ideas which range from a belief that the modern democratic system is hopelessly rigged and contains no real choice, nor opportunity for any real dissenting opinion, to a daring repudiation of Christianity and its incompatibility with the ideology of white survival.

The author then provides a detailed description of the need for a radical policy of eugenics, before moving on to a derailed discussion of race and racial types. Finally he moves on to discuss other methods of gaining political power, given that the ballot box is closed.

This essays are possibly the most important that Colin Jordan ever wrote.

Part 1: DEMOCRACY BRINGS THE POLICE STATE

“Democracy” has been made at the close of the Twentieth Century the great political key-word, the supreme criterion of life: something sacrosanct, standing unquestionably for the highest form of government, the best type of society and the finest way of life.

This is so much so that acceptance has been made compulsory in the Britain of the 1990s by virtue of the special protection now accorded to Democracy by the secret police here which now formally treats rejection of Democracy as “subversion.”

Time was when national security was viewed simply as a matter of protection from a foreign foe intent on depriving us of ownership of our land and control of our affairs. Now, in addition, one particular form of government and that alone is allowed to us, and any and every effort to replace it is classed as subversive and accordingly prohibited.

Now because of the development of Democracy as dictatorship in disguise, what is called “national security” ironically aids alien intrusion from both within and without.

This enforcement of creed under a fraudulent flag of liberty was unequivocally, unashamedly and publicly enunciated by secret police chief Stella Rimington, Director General of Military Intelligence, otherwise known as the “Security Service”, on 24 June 1994 on BBC Television Channel One in her *Richard Dimbleby Lecture 1994*.

There and then she declared: “The intention to undermine democracy is what ‘subversion’ means to us.” To this avowed definition of purpose she added in accounting for the apportionment of MI5 time: “Some of that is now devoted to groups on the extreme right who are seeking to undermine democracy through the exploitation of racial hatred and xenophobia.”

In the Rimington vocabulary “racial hatred” of course encompasses anything which gives recognition to the determinative factor of race as distinguishing native Britons

from others who have come here; and "extreme right" encompasses all who are "extreme" enough to perceive and attach importance to this racial factor, and want to do something in keeping with it.

"Racial hatred" indeed for her covers anything and everything critical and disrespectful to Democracy's "Chosen Ones," the Jews, and thus includes for instance any accusation of cruelty laid against the Jewish ritual slaughter of animals for food, whereby they are bled to death without pre-stunning to prevent suffering, as required of gentiles, apart from Muslims who are similarly granted privileged exemption from the law affecting us.

Much of the meat resulting from Jewish ritual slaughter ends up being consumed by Aryans without them being aware that they have been made a party to this abominable Jewish practice because the fastidious Jews will not eat the rear part of the animals they slaughter. The legislative basis for the special protection of Democracy as a matter of national security was laid down in 1989 by the Security Service Act, Clause 1(2) of which placed "actions intended to overthrow or undermine parliamentary democracy by political, industrial or violent means on a par with "threats of espionage, terrorism and sabotage, from the activities of foreign powers."

This means that even entirely non-violent political canvassing of the public in favour of some other form of government, one truly representative of the authentic British people and their genuine interests, and conducive to their proper freedom, is deemed subversive by the real rulers of Democracy. Working with MIS as other parts of the apparatus of suppression of opposition to the disastrous Democratic system, we have firstly the "Special Branch" of the Police, concerned with political activities, and coming under the Metropolitan Commissioner of Police. The present holder of this office is a Paul Condon who, in a conference lecture at the outset of his tenure, pinpointed "racism" as a prime concern on a par with the worst of crime, being a rejection of the essential multiracialism of Democracy (*Independent* 1 March 1993).

Secondly: we have GCHQ, the Government Communications Headquarters at Cheltenham, which monitors all radio, telex and telegraph communications into and out of Britain.

Thirdly, constant co-operation is provided by Democratic America's National Security Agency's surveillance installation

on British soil at Menwith Hill just a few miles from me near Harrogate in Yorkshire which can listen in on telephone conversations all over Europe by interception of the broadband microwave network over which long-distance telephone communications travel. (See *The Puzzle Palace*, James Bamford; Sidgwick & Jackson, London, 1983)

DEMOCRACY IS WHAT DEMOCRACY DOES

Democracy claims descent from Ancient Greece whence the word originated, but the truth is that what exists today in its name bears no solid resemblance to its alleged prototype.

The democracy of the days of Plato and Aristotle was a form of government confined to a particular town and surrounding area which constituted a state, and was therefore of the nature of local government with the area and the people so comparatively small as to allow immediate and personal contact between the government and its affairs and the governed.

The direct participation of the people was thus a reality in the Greek city-state where the people were, moreover, an ethnic community so that citizenship was a matter of kinship.

What is called "Democracy" today stands in stark contrast as a matter of huge units of assorted population nominally represented by members of parties which actually represent particular assortments of interests, the dominant array of which, through a mind-moulding media, particularly television, injects into the public desirable influencing material for the mind-set in view, and excludes what is deemed undesirable in influence for that mind-set.

In the outcome of this mind-moulding the conditioned public then votes as between one or the other variation of the Democratic creed concerning which all the approved parties form a consensus. Performing thus, like Pavlov's dogs with their conditioned reflexes, the public fondly imagines that it does the deciding, whereas it merely registers choice within the latitude allowed by the real rulers who are clandestine dictators.

Democracy is thus the colossal confidence trick of the century. Furthermore, Democracy which is counterfeit at the core, has been embellished by its manipulators into something far more than just a method of government in order to provide maximum power, profit and protection for those manipulators.

It has in interpretation been turned into a whole creed concerned with a multiracial, egalitarian society. What it does, more than what in some respects it claims to stand

for, provides the most accurate definition of the Democracy afflicting us. The results of Democracy in Britain today are a teaching profession and educational system which turns out a brainwashed, anarchic, egocentric, ideal lacking, crudely materialist, drug-taking younger generation addicted to a typifying din of decadence known as "pop."

Adults are taken care of to similar effect by the mind controlling media, acting in conjunction with the propaganda and practices of the Democratic political parties. The overall effect of this, in a crime-ravaged, run down vestige of a former world power and vast empire, is a British public which offers no effective resistance to being intruded on and ousted by hordes of Afro-Asians; subjected to an ever extending alien control of British affairs from within and without; and restricted by more and more measures to prevent and penalize opposition to this alienation of their homeland.

THE COLOURED INVASION

The primary issue or preserving the British people as an ethnic community in ownership of its homeland, and therefore stopping and reversing the Coloured Invasion of Britain which has been allowed by the rulers of Democracy ever since the end of the Second World War, supposedly fought to keep Britain for the British, is one on which the wish of the indigenous population has never been the determinant.

The British people undoubtedly did not want the Coloured Invasion, but they certainly got it, precisely because Democracy, as the will of the people, is a sham.

In consequence of this invasion by dictation, we now learn from a headline in the *Daily Telegraph* (20 January 1994), reporting a detailed study of ethnic data from the U.K. 1991 Census, that the "Number of Blacks and Asians 'will double in 40 years'."

Another headline in the same newspaper a short time previous (2 December 1993) had recorded "Europe's birth-rate plunges to record low". Taking these two items together, it is only a matter of time, and not much time at that, before thanks to Democracy the British become a minority in what was once their homeland.

An account sheet of all the costs of the Coloured Invasion in its every aspect and detail, including a reckoning for all the catastrophic consequences of miscegenation and out-breeding and this as just one part of the total harm of Democracy,

would make a gigantic condemnation of the political system responsible for it.

This colossal bill should be drawn up in full, put before the British public who have to pay it, and thrust in the face of the treacherous scoundrels at Westminster and Whitehall and elsewhere who are to blame for this looting of our resources, squandering of our heritage, and despoliation of our future.

To suppress opposition to this Coloured Invasion our freedom is being more and more taken away from us by more and more legislative and executive measures against what is indiscriminately and collectively called "racial hatred", while continual demands resound for more severe enforcement of existing law, and the introduction of additional laws against it.

Hardly a week goes past without the Jewish community's main organ, the *Jewish Chronicle*, recording yet another round of lobbying by this minority to put pressure on the Government to impose further restriction on the native majority. The Minister responsible, as Home Secretary, for keeping the public in order is currently Michael Howard, identified as a Jew by the *Jewish Chronicle* and thus to be regarded as an illegal immigrant in view of the unrevoked law of expulsion of 1290.

Under Howard, as he calls himself now, although his original family surname was undoubtedly different, comes both MIS and the Special Branch political police commanded by Metropolitan Police Commissioner Paul Condon.

The latter luminary of Democracy has identified "racism" as "the greatest challenge" for the police today, denouncing "The dire consequences of racially based nationalism" enjoining his officers to be "totally intolerant" of those who for political ends cause "racial hatred" (*Independent*, 1 March 1993).

Behind Home Secretary Howard there is in the network of enforcement, in addition to MIS and the Special Branch, a judiciary now headed by Lord Chief Justice Taylor (also identified by the *Jewish Chronicle* as a Jew, whose original family surname is also at present unknown to me), and a Crown Prosecution Service plentifully staffed with his kind of people, as is the whole legal profession.

COMMUNISM COMES TO BRITAIN

Full understanding of the nature and purpose of Democracy requires a perception of its inherent proclivity to become a fancy equation for Communism. Jewish High Finance houses in the Democratic U.S.A. subsidized the initial establishment



of Communism in Russia. The Communist regimes there and elsewhere claimed to be and styled themselves new and fuller forms of Democracy. In this guise they proceeded to conduct a mass extermination of opponents the scale of which vastly exceeded all the Jewish allegations against Germany.

Recent Russian estimates of those killed or brought to death by Communism in Russia alone range between 30 and 80 million. Churchill and Roosevelt linked arms with the Red mass murderers in a war for Democracy, Communist and Capitalist style combined, against the cause of racial freedom and resurgence represented by National-Socialist Germany.

Under Churchill just under 2,000 British citizens, targeted by the secret police of MI5 and Special Branch because of opposition to the war, were seized and held in prison or concentration camp under Regulation 18B without trial. (See *In the Highest Degree Odious*, A.W. Brian Simpson, Clarendon Press, 1992).

The Red Holocaust must be loudly exposed whenever the word "holocaust" is raised by the Jews and their supporters which is more or less all the time. Their latest star-studded replenishment of propaganda is the absurdly sensational, fictional film *Schindler's List* based on a book which itself stated it was fiction, and made by a Jewish maker of fantasy movies, Steven Spielberg.

Let us stand for free speech and full enquiry on all alleged atrocities including the Jewish "holocaust" and Allied war crimes.

Suddenly, several years ago, Communism seemed to vanish from Russia and Eastern Europe to great acclaim in the Western Democracies followed by a pay-off in massive aid, the U.S.A. announcing at the beginning of April 1993 a \$1.6 billion package of short-term aid to its accredited protégé, President Boris Yeltsin, as the first down payment to help his brand of Democracy survive.

Quite soon after this foreign financing of Russian Democracy started, Democratic dictator Yeltsin proceeded to ride roughshod over the Russian parliament, arbitrarily dissolving it, and, when the parliamentarians resisted, sending troops to attack the parliament building and kill many inside; all this with the sympathetic understanding of the Western upholders of Russian Democracy. Money and materials have not been the only form of aid to help set up in Russia the system and

society we are afflicted with here. Our secret police chief Stella Rimington has admitted in her lecture to which we have already referred that Britain's secret police have been working with that of Democratic dictator Yeltsin to further the imposition of Democracy in his country. Said the lady: "Together with our sister service SIS, we provided advice and support for the reorganised and reoriented security services, particularly to help them establish a democratic framework for their work." (SIS = "Secret Intelligence Service" or MI6, coming under the Foreign Secretary).

So has Communism really gone, or only done a conjuror's vanishing trick on the world stage in order more conveniently and effectively to operate in times now and ahead? Has it been decided that the time is now ripe for it to proceed and succeed better in seeming disjointed and piecemeal fashion under a different name, disguised as "Democracy": the slower but no less sure vehicle to the same destination?

Well the fact is that, in the slower and surreptitious form, we have got it right here in Britain today in our schools staffed with those who are Lefties, either formally or virtually, with all their indoctrination of our children with multiracial, anti-patriotic, anti- authoritarian, super-egalitarian notions.

Communism is here in substance in the dictatorship of the media with its constant conveyance of material destructive of traditional values, and expression of the crass materialism of a nomadic, global, consumer society which extols the emancipation of the common man and the will of the majority in theory while ensuring his plutocratic exploitation in practice.

Communism is here today in all the reaches of that regimentation of thought known as "political correctness", and in the suppression of racial patriotism by means of "racial hatred" law and a secret security service and a political police.

Apropos this communistic law, let it be remembered that "anti-Semitism" was made a crime within a few months of the Communist Revolution in Russia in 1917, just as it is being made a crime in the Britain of Democracy today.

Some 50 years ago the advocates of world government were striving to get acceptance for it in its entirety at one go. They failed, but they learned by their failure, and they took to bringing it about bit by bit without an off-putting name, and without attracting attention to the ultimate aggregation; and now they are succeeding.



The Communists have learned the same lesson, and are now following the same methods leading to the same ultimate aggregation in view. "Communism " and "Democracy" are interchangeable titles for basically and mostly the same thing" a Great Pretence under which in the first case a dictatorship of the proletariat" pretends to represent the people in a "classless society" of the exploited and enslaved masses; and in the second case another oligarchy, a dictatorship of the media, big business and finance, exercises its power and reaps its profit behind a facade of representation of the people in a society which is uniform or classless in the liberty to conform, which is the compulsion to do so.

DEMOCRACY IS DEATH

Democracy, as Britain's pioneer National-Socialist Arnold Leese proclaimed, is death. Its synthesis of Communism and Capitalism, distinguished by a fusion of deceit, deprivation and degeneration, is sure to bring about the diminution of the White folk of this country and the world at large to the point of virtual extinction within the coming century through the rapid proliferation of the Coloured peoples and the ever increasing ratio of half-breeds resulting from stimulated miscegenation which Democracy by its very nature engenders.

The Jews, however, who are predominantly non-European racially, will undoubtedly not only survive but surmount and hold sway by means of the religious racialism which so powerfully permeates them and is so zealously practised by them.

In this dire situation the one and only way to future life for the White peoples of the world lies in overthrowing the death-system of Democracy, and replacing it with a life-system devoted to the preservation, improvement and proliferation of White or Aryan stock. The name of this life-system is National Socialism.

The question is: can it be done? To attempt to answer this most fateful question we have to shed all illusions, all wishful thinking, conjured up to lessen apprehension and to generate optimism.

We have to face up fully to the gravity of the menace, the consequent immensity of the task, the slowness of the chance of success which is dwindling daily as the enemy extends and consolidates its power; and we have frankly to admit the impotence of our side.

The thorough and realistic appraisal which is required can only lead to the terrible truth that our side is getting nowhere fast, and that if it continues in its present ways it is doomed to certain failure.

Its time and effort will continue to be wasted until the last chance has gone, and the ever- lasting darkness descends. That is my conclusion. Yet it is one to which I immediately add another which is that this certain defeat under present circumstances, since it will be decided by the accumulated consequences of wrong thinking and wrong practice, can even now be avoided.

This can be done if, firstly, we are resolved to make the effort to subject all present attitudes and practices to the most searching and severe examination, scrutinizing without inhibition and reservation all habitual routine which can so very easily become rigidly restrictive habit, precluding awareness and admission of fault.

Secondly, having performed this rigorous survey as our initial achievement, we have as our second achievement to gain the liberating benefit of the lessons discerned by making the effort, however exacting, to rid ourselves of the wrong thinking and wrong practice detected, and to introduce and unswervingly follow what has been seen to be essential.

We can win, and I think I can tell you how to do so, but whether you will win that I cannot say for it depends on you. What I will here now seek to do is to set down what I regard as the three primary requirements for victory, and then proceed to unfold them. They are:-

(1) The right ideology -which has to be world-wide and life-wide; a Weltanschauung of religious significance and force.

(2) The right people who have to be people adhering to this Weltanschauung in heart and mind, conforming to it in personal conduct, and zealously implementing it in every possible way.

(3) The right forms of organization enabling the right people with the right ideology to work and fight to maximum effect.

REJECTION OF DEMOCRACY

Accepting the three requirements for victory calls for a clear perception of and complete rejection of the enemy. The enemy, collectively, is a whole way of thinking and behaving; a whole political system and society incorporating this thought and behaviour; and a whole array of persons effectively responsible

for promoting this thought and behaviour, and this system and society of Democracy.

It has to be seen that Democracy is at war with us on all the fronts of life, and that our response therefore has to be a counterattack on all those fronts of life and nothing less.

Ousting Democracy begins with thoroughly ousting it from you, your outlook, your habits, and your daily life as far as possible. Democracy has to be recognized and denounced as the creed and system of death which it is.

Let there be no hesitation, no diffidence about this: no feeble fudging to try and make out that you are just another and better Democrat through intricate contortions to dissemble anti-Democracy as its opposite.

You cannot set about distributing the elixir of life by peddling more of the old poison. To squirm to evade forthright denunciation is a fatal exhibition of inner failure at the outset, a lethal lack of the will to win; whereas the purging act of repudiation is a demonstration of that will without which nothing is possible and with which everything is.

Rejecting the death-system of Democracy as the prerequisite for the salvation of the Aryan folk requires the clearest and fiercest indictment of those principally responsible for its operation here and throughout the world.

This partly but particularly means the politicians past and present of the Democratic parties, including those of Britain, these latter having to be seen and unreservedly and constantly referred to as the greatest criminals in our land and history.

Theirs has been the supreme crime of betraying our folk by their policies and practices, and in particular by opening our doors to mass Afro-Asian and Jewish immigration, and coercing our folk to accept this immigration and to integrate with the immigrants; and opening our affairs to alien influence and control from both inside and outside.

The rejection of Democracy is necessarily, as the other side of the coin, the acceptance of its only complete replacement which is National Socialism: the creed composed by Adolf Hitler and his colleagues, drawing on antecedent elements, and bequeathed by them to us to extend to suit contemporary conditions by any legitimate development of its implications, or adaption of secondary details of implementation, consonant with the principles of the creed. In contrast no mere patchwork of oddments of reform, composed as some com promise

between what is really needed and what presently prevails, and conceived to suit the present state of the public mind besotted with the infusions of the enemy media, is worth ten seconds consideration.

Only a revolutionary creed with the necessary profundity and amplitude and dynamic strength to meet and match and remedy the emergency Democracy confronts us with deserves our attention.

All else is utterly inadequate, and the wishy-washy presenters of these supposed short cuts by way of Democratic acceptability are just pathetic, time-wasting distracters.

NATIONAL SOCIALISM NOT FASCISM

National Socialism, as the right ideology and only remedy, has to be carefully differentiated from Fascism with which it is commonly and conveniently lumped by opponents, and carelessly confused by adherents. The former is founded on and inseparable from the conception of the folk or racial community.

This determines the nation, and this delineates its citizenship. With National Socialism, as Arnold Leese put it, "Race is the basis of politics".

It inescapably follows from this that National Socialism, properly understood in its inevitable implications, is not and cannot be simply a conventional nationalism, even one based on a proclaimed racial identity, albeit one geographically circumscribed and confined. Racial characteristics, whatever the particular blend within a particular country, transcend the boundary of that country, and thus potentially unite where the barriers of conventional nationalism divide.

The Aryans of this earth have been brought to their present appalling plight by way of the divisive wars of conventional nationalism with non-Aryans fostering and profiting by the friction. The creed of salvation must thus be one of pan-Aryan racialism. Fascism, despite merits, is not founded on Race, and is not pan-Aryan.

Instead it is only a reformed and vitalized nationalism for the old, geographical, national state. This is why Mussolini in his early days of power, before the influence of Germany as an ally was felt, had Jewish colleagues, and the *Fascist Week* in the U.K. (27 April -3 May 1934) included a report that "The Chief Rabbi (of Italy) was a Fascist, and was a strong supporter of Mussolini."

It is why his granddaughter, Alessandra Mussolini -standing as a candidate for the modified version of the MSI in the March 1994 elections, and obtaining 50.4% of the vote in the racial rubbish heap of Naples happily played the part of a Jewess in a 1989 film, and dismisses anti-Jewish opinion as "old hat" (*Sunday Telegraph* 5 December 1993).

Based on recognition of Race, and with this being not only the recognition of and zeal to preserve the racial identity of the Aryan folk as distinct from other folk, but recognition also of qualitative differences within the folk itself, and the need therefore to improve the breed as the most effective way to produce a better society; National Socialism applies this racial outlook to all aspects of government and all else of life in a totality of comprehension.

It derives an ardour for economic and social justice from the notion of kinship; an adhesion to leadership within a hierarchy of talent and responsibility from an appreciation of the workings of Nature conducive to the ascendancy of the superior; and from this oneness with Nature a desire to conserve by organic management the resources of this planet.

WITH HITLER TO VICTORY

In embracing National Socialism to its full extent as the needed ideology for victory, there can be no question of failing for any reason of crippling timidity or myopia to give rightful recognition to Adolf Hitler as the great personification of this creed.

To attempt to present it without this recognition of its founder, prophet and leader is the equivalent of seeking to propagate Christianity a spiritual underpin of Democracy without mentioning Christ.

To say this is not to attempt to deify Hitler, and at the cost of depreciating the contribution made by his followers in those days which was gigantic, or the contribution that will have to be made by his followers now and in the future if victory is to be secured, which will have to be even more gigantic.

The necessity to give homage to Adolf Hitler as towering genius, superman of our cause, is not simply a matter of justice in fair acknowledgement, or even the test of sincerity and strength involved in making this acknowledgement, but of the immense advantage thereby to be gained, contrary to the lament of feeble nationalists and "patriots" that association with Hitler and National Socialism is a ruinous disability

which can and must be avoided. These trembling warriors are forever in full flight from their own shadows, seemingly unable to comprehend that their complaints against the system point towards, and will certainly be portrayed by the astute enemy as pointing towards Hitler and National Socialism, and the more they protest at this conclusion the more the enemy will know that they can be put to flight by raising it.

Thus these political eunuchs are powerless from the start, while ludicrously congratulating themselves on a "wisdom" which is in fact imperviousness to the real thing.

We National-Socialists, unlike these people with their flaccid messages of hotchpotch programmes to repair the Democratic system in a Democratic way, have not only a whole Weltanschauung, but within it a well of great depth of inspiration provided by the example of the tremendous devotion, the enormous hard work, the glorious heroism and colossal achievements of National-Socialist Germany under Adolf Hitler.

Far from this wonderful and unique heritage of ours being some fatal drawback as the vendors of nostrums acceptable as conformable to Democracy aver, it is our exclusive strength: the sustenance of and for giants in the gigantic struggle facing us.

The argument that the general public will not, now, accept National Socialism and its pivotal figure of Adolf Hitler is meaningless in the context that general public never make or break revolutions, and soliciting their participation is a futile deviation, not the proper way to salvation.

That general public will remain in bondage to the almighty enemy media and the real rulers of Democracy until the Democratic system is brought to its breakdown. Then and only then will our breakthrough become possible.

Then the general public will have become sufficiently sick and tired of Democracy to become sufficiently receptive to what we have to say.

With the acquisition then of state power, and a media of liberation in place of one of bondage, we will be able to bring the mind of that public to acceptance of and thereby the necessary willing support for the measures of revolutionary transformation of society.

Having identified in outline the first requirement for victory over Democracy, namely the ideology of National Socialism, we will return to this subject in further detail at a later point,

meanwhile passing on to consider the second requirement: the right people.

THE PEOPLE WE NEED

The best of ideas must fail to come to fruition unless their bearers are worthy of those ideas. For the gigantic task of overthrowing the death- system of Democracy we do not need, and must not aim at, and instead must eschew striving for some big collection of all and sundry types of human being of greatly varying value ranging from the vast majority who are mediocre at the very best, willing for and capable of giving only a little service at the most and taking only a little risk, if any, to the few who are of high worth; being of the calibre of constant and invincible believers, regular hard-workers, and courageous fighters.

It is only the last-mentioned who are fit for and needed for the vanguard for victory, the assembly of the elite. Confusion with and attachment to the rest, it must be emphasized to the utmost, is not as current wrong thought and practice has it some desirable aggregation whereby the little bits from the little people all helps. To the contrary, the little people with their little bits are in such a combination infinitely more harm than help, hobbling the elite on which ultimately all depends. They belong in the background as sympathizers and auxiliaries, and must be ruthlessly relegated to this position.

We cannot afford to clutter up and cripple our fighting force with an outnumbering and impeding array of camp followers. The way to victory is to be found in the triumph of quality, and not in the indiscriminate pursuit and use of quantity.

Democracy, all-powerful as it may well now appear in the strength of the minority who rule by means of it and the prevalence of the ideas which that minority spreads, is really a leviathan with feet of clay in that it is vulnerable to the extent of its reliance on an infrastructure of increasing complexity manned by people who are largely ordinary in their lack of dedication, stamina and courage; and in its commitment to policies which inherently involve great strain and stress. Our superior few, the supermen and superwomen, can because of this succeed against the rulers of Democracy.

The ultimate battle to decide the fate of our race and nation will be between two political minorities: that of the enemy wielding power over the state, and that of ours working and fighting to seize that state power.

It is a battle we can win if and only if we produce as our minority an elite more powerful to disrupt Democracy than their minority with its lesser quality and its entanglement with inferior supporting personnel is to defend it. We can only do this if we avoid an entanglement of our elite with a mass of people of lesser quality.

Numbers will not decide our future in our favour. Quality, the efficacy of a superlative elite, can do so.

Stop to imagine and fully appreciate the fighting strength, the capacity to strike and damage, which belongs to the superman or woman, meaning the person afire with devotion to the cause felt in religious dimension as the supreme motivation of his or her life; the person who educates himself or herself to the highest degree in his or her beliefs and their application; the person who invariably puts principles into practice, living his or her beliefs as a daily way of life; the person who systematically trains himself or herself to be in one specialist capacity or another a most effective instrument for the revolutionary pursuit of those beliefs.

The combination thus in one and the same person of thinker, zealot, worker, warrior makes an unbeatable coalescence of human qualities, an unequalled unit for revolutionary action which Democracy can never match or withstand.

This is our special weapon which can compensate for all other deficiencies. With but a few dozen of these supermen and women great havoc can be wrought upon the enemy. With hundreds of them Democracy will be in deadly danger, and victory will be in sight.

The vital spark, becoming the flame of victory energizing the vanguard of victory, is the will to win in the minds of the National-Socialist elite. We can succeed and we must succeed, but the measure of our right to succeed and the sign that we will succeed lies in the inflexible determination to fight: to fight on regardless of the immediacy or even the certainty or even the likelihood of attaining state power.

This is because the 100% National-Socialist fights for National Socialism as nothing less than a necessity of being, and not because of any assessment of chances of success. Doing so, he knows that a victory of the spirit is always won, whatever else happens. Indeed the lesser the possibility of physical victory over the hostile state, the greater the honour and the greater the moral victory of doing so.

STARTING THE REVOLUTION IN YOU

So the people we need are those with the will to win. With it they are on the threshold of the very first phase of the National-Socialist Revolution. This is an internal revolution: a seizure of power within themselves.

The other phases are, secondly, the attainment and exercise of forms of power short of state power; thirdly, the attainment of state power; and fourthly, the exercise of state power to bring the people to adopt the desired new ways of living.

The "Vanguard" is my term for the National-Socialist elite intent on accomplishing the fourfold course of the National-Socialist Revolution. No one becomes a member of the Vanguard simply by completing some piece of paper and paying some subscription as happens with the numbers game of the old organisations. One only becomes part of it in name by virtue of becoming part of it in thought and action. Given the will to win, you start the revolution -in you - by renouncing allegiance to the Democratic state which has no moral or other legitimate claim to your allegiance and obedience, and thus exerts only a wrongful compulsion by brute force.

Our supreme law lies not in the decrees of Democracy in pursuit of its foul purposes against our folk, but instead in the high ordinances implied in the vital requirements for the protection and welfare of that folk. This understanding initiates the whole salutary process of evicting the enemy from the moral high ground it has usurped, and relegating it to the depths as vile and vicious, perverted and hateful.

The above renunciation as an expression of the will to win should mean an end to being hesitant, diffident and defensive about your beliefs. Henceforth you have to be on the offensive. No more, for example, can it be permissible to fight shy of the label "racialist," trying to talk round and away from this loaded hate-word of the enemy. Yes, yes you are indeed a racist as a defender of our race from ruin, and proud to say so.

The Vanguard member on the attack with the will to win needs to be a fit person in all respects, physically, mentally and spiritually. He or she needs to respect Nature in the temple of the body as just as much a mettle of National Socialism as anything else, exercising adequately, and acquiring himself or herself with the requirements of a good diet because what, eat becomes what we are. While it is all right for the supporters of Democracy to stuff their stomachs with such as puffed-up

potato crisps, chemically doctored “soft drinks”, nutritionally-deprived white flour, fat-soaked fish and chips, excessive salt and sugar, debilitated and adulterated “convenience foods” and suspect or definitely harmful additives galore, not forgetting that manna of modernity in the form of MacDonald’s reconstructed beef burgers; our pioneers of a better world have to practise a better form of feeding.

The personal revolution is no mere matter of continually saying you are against the system of Democracy, and meaning it — up to a point — but at that point unthinkingly retaining its habits and appearances in your own daily life. You have to cast off its conventions of speech such as the terrible “I” of “tolerance”, meaning tolerance of what is detrimental to the survival and welfare of our folk.

You have to cast off its garb too, meaning in particular the slovenly jeans and sloppy footwear which are Democracy’s authentic uniform for its disorderly, “casual”, cosmopolitan citizen: With the will to win fully applied in your personal life, so that you are waging the first phase of the revolution, each morning should start with the vow to find in the following twenty-four hours good ways of effectively fighting the multiracial abomination of Democracy.

You only live once, so give meaning to your life by fighting the good fight throughout.

The Vanguard member pursues an ongoing course of study and training to make him or her a more and more efficient instrument of revolution. We have to be professionals in the business of revolution, thereby making quality more than make up for lack of numbers and other resources. Every form of activity has to be seen as falling within an art or a science to be methodically pursued by study and training, rehearsal, critical review of that rehearsal, yet more study and training to remedy shortcomings shown by the rehearsal; and thus to reach the pitch of achieving maximum effect for minimum time and effort and minimum risk.

The Vanguard member is the person capable of converting by personal example meaning personal appearance and behaviour. You have won the first battle in the field of conversion when clean, tidy and courteous you have made an attractive personal impression.

Thus qualified, you can go about your life with your eyes open for others suitable to be brought into the fold, always

understanding that any and every approach must involve watching the prospect for an adequate length of time to be reasonably sure that you have someone of the right type: genuine and reliable and capable.

It is far better to take time to make sure than later to regret it. Young people particularly are needed because of the vigour of youth, and also because they tend not to have become materially tied to the existing order as older people with their established commitments and concern for their accumulated resources tend to be.

Women must not be neglected or cast in some inferior role as is the bad habit of the old organizations. Democracy, as one of its deceits, acutely degrades women in its pretence of emancipating them; whereas National Socialism elevates them by honouring their natural role and ensuring for them the freedom to fulfil it. It is imperative that Vanguard members only take or retain as spouse someone who, if not also a Vanguard member, is at least dependably sympathetic to National Socialism and, moreover, to involvement in the Vanguard.

You cannot afford to have to face friction in the home over Vanguard involvement, along with all other problems of the struggle. In the German struggle for power women played a great part. Front rows at meetings addressed by Adolf Hitler were packed with intensely enthusiastic members of the fair sex.

In the desperate later days of the war "Great numbers of them had written to Hitler and Goebbels demanding the right to fight". A women's force, Adolf Hitler, was formed in March 1945, but too late. (*Reich*, James Lucas, Grafton Books, London, 1990; P. 134)

Let us not be too late in bringing women into the fight. The deed speaks loudest, and the great deed obliges everyone to listen. In the final analysis a creed only proves its significance for life if adherents are prepared to give up liberty and even life itself for it.

In the Hitler era huge numbers of adherents were prepared to do exactly that. Post-war National Socialism is so far comparatively short of new heroes. We have a few martyrs abroad: men who have undergone or are undergoing long periods of imprisonment, and who have remained undaunted in spirit. These wonderful people deserve and must receive our commensurate respect and support. Along with them



Colin Jordan

we have as a glorious example of the political soldier the heroic leader of The Silent Brotherhood in the U.S.A., Robert Mathews, who voluntarily made the supreme sacrifice, going down fighting against a massive besieging array of the armed police of Democracy in a triumphant display of the spirit of “No surrender!” But in Britain, so far, no one has been similarly prepared to go to Valhalla.



Part 2: THE WAY AHEAD UNITY: ILLUSION AND REALITY

The preceding indication of the kind of people needed for the instrument of battle can now be re-enforced conversely by an examination of the kind of people we need to exclude and avoid entanglement with.

To begin with, let us consider the continual chatter about the desirability of unity in the "right wing": the notion that, if only there could be some indiscriminate banding together of all the different political shapes and sizes of Democracy's non-Left dissidents including us we would all be well on the way to success.

On the contrary, such a variegated assemblage could never result in anything other than a short-lived excursion into futility both for us and for the rest of the hotchpotch. The original National Front in the U.K. pursued this fool's errand, and proved its folly by succumbing to a rapid succession of splits because of the indigestibility of its ingredients. The prerequisite for organizational unity is like mindedness to an over-whelming if not entire extent, thus a union of minds to supply the justification and provide the durable bonding.

This fusion of thought to the extent of transcending common belief is the real substance of unity. without it a physical fusion brought about because of some partial agreement alongside much disagreement is but the outward semblance cloaking an inward continuation of disunity of belief: an illusion of increased strength but a reality of continuing weakness.

Any National-Socialist body foolish enough to be deluded into participating in such an exercise of self-deception, conceiving it to be some short cut to victory, will learn through its ensuing disablement and nullification and all the resulting waste of time and opportunity that this is no real way ahead. Emphatically there are no such short cuts, only the long, straight, hard road with the benefit of sound methods of advancement which are the subject of this study. An entirely different matter from the folly of fusion with non-National Socialists including nationalists who, in contrast to National-Socialists, put the

state and the country above the race and its ideology is the folly of failing to unite with all genuine National-Socialists.

The restrictive qualification of "genuine" has to be stressed because there are various persons and bodies who adopt the label, part of the ideology, and much of the trappings, but who are certainly not in the full and therefore proper sense real National-Socialists as we must define them.

These people range from sincere but mistaken ideological deviationists to crude parodies and monstrous caricatures, and will be dealt with later in this study. Putting aside all such counterfeit material, there can be no good reason for a failure to bring about structural unity among all genuine National-Socialists for the greater strength to be gained thereby from organizational recognition of their unity of thought.

Instead, the invalid and bemeaning reason is petty, personal antipathy. In this, real or imaginary affronts, jealousies, failure to balance good in a person against imperfections loom out of proportion and override the interests of the cause and its requirements of comradeship.

Thereby the ulcer of personal friction suppurates to the benefit of the enemy. The noisome pus of concocted or aggravated allegations and disparagements oozes forth to spread infection.

Persons who formerly and happily worked together, once some personal clash has occurred turn round and discover almost every sin under the sun in the erstwhile comrade. The poisoning of the atmosphere is helped on by those who, without an actual thorn in their own flesh as the cause, are stricken with chronic gullibility.

This latter failing of the mind to perform with cautious scepticism, requiring and evaluating evidence before reaching a judgment in the range of guilty, innocent or not proven one way or the other, is seen in this present context in the form of a proclivity to swallow and regurgitate any kind of rumour, so that, for example, persons of somewhat Iberian appearance are instantly pronounced to be Jewish, and male companions who go camping together are pronounced to be homosexuals. It can of course in another and reverse form work as a willingness to accept a person as genuine too easily, and thus cause the person to fall prey to fakes and enemy agents. The cause is too great and the time too short for all the petty, personal nonsense which puts and keeps National-Socialists apart and

in conflict. If we cannot expurgate it, we will deserve to lose, and lose we will.

If we can henceforth cast out, here and abroad, the cancerous wasting disease of disunity, we will show a far, greater worthiness to succeed, and attain a much increased capacity to do so.

RIGHT WING: NATIONAL-SOCIALISTS ARE NOT

In rigorously resisting and rejecting all the befuddling and distracting talk about uniting the "right wing" with us as part of it, we must from this moment onwards completely and constantly disown this term as applied so commonly, wrongly and harmfully to us, along with the nationalists.

This rejection is a vital part of the purification and clarification necessary for the creation of the combat efficient force we must have for our victory. We National-Socialists are no part of Democracy's political pattern, right, left or centre. We are wholly outside it and wholly against it, and intent on declaring and demonstrating this.

As part of the rejection of the right wing label, along with calls for our involvement in right wing unity, we have firmly to resist and sternly to expose and denounce the recurrent disposition of those who accept the label to fancy that there is some easier way to better things by fondly grasping at some right wing figure of Democracy's old parties as some shining white hope of salvation.

We have had this furore in the past here in the U.K. with Enoch Powell serving Democracy as a safety valve on the issue of Coloured immigration, appearing to wishful thinkers galore to be raising a banner of competent revolt against this alien invasion, while all the time remaining a devotee of the system inherently responsible for it.

Recently another pious piper from the same political abode playing much the same political tune to beguile the public and boost his prestige, came on stage and predictably attracted an instant accolade from the legions of undiscerning optimists who seemingly never learn.

This second seducer was appropriately, a second Winston Churchill. His grandfather was that calamitous character who, for the benefit of the Jewish cause which he espoused for his political and financial advancement, put us into and kept us in the inferno of the Second World War to the bitter end of unconditional surrender to an insanity resulting in all our



present afflictions. Winston No. 2 won all his instantaneous and resounding hurrahs from the credulous congregation of the right wing for what resolved down into no more than a virtually useless proposal to slow down slightly the process of making Britain Coloured. Thus all he had to offer was a slight delay in attaining this culmination of that descent to ruin so powerfully promoted by that supreme showman of spurious patriotism, his grandfather, Winston No. 1.

A founder-member of the Inter-parliamentary Council against anti-Semitism, who has told the London *Jewish Chronicle* that he wants "exemplary sentences" for those "who incite racism," Winston No. 2, toast of the nitwits of the right wing, did not even call for a complete stop to further immigration. So he never went beyond this to suggest anything at all to encourage the departure of any part of the millions who are already here, thanks to all he and his Conservative and Liberal and Labour fellow political criminals have or have not done over the decades since his grandfather made war on Aryan racial resurgence.

Even if, contrary to both Churchills, all further Coloured immigration was permanently stopped tomorrow, there are already so many Coloureds here, and they are breeding so fast that it is only a matter of time, and not so very long at that, before they become Democracy's majority. Then the Aryans who have trod, worked, fought for the land, and died here time out of mind, bringing about a unique blend of blood and soil, will become a dissipated, demoralized, dwindling minority in what was once their homeland, facing extinction by reduced reproduction and miscegenation.

That is our destiny under Democracy, the death system of the Aryan. Even if, in addition to stopping all further entry by Coloureds, there was some encouragement to repatriation solely on a voluntary basis by means of some financial inducement, as has been proposed in various circles of the right wing, it would be woefully inadequate to remove the Coloured settlers as a whole or any great part of them.

Coloured immigrants swarmed here and still do, despite the tricks of Democracy's politicians to dissemble, precisely because of the benefits of residence and citizenship. The vast majority of them will only be induced to depart voluntarily if, in addition to any reasonable repatriation grant and other assistance, those benefits are withdrawn from them, something

you can be absolutely certain the politicians of Democracy, along with right wing nationalists, will never contemplate.

THE REMOVAL OF THE COLOURED

Their citizenship, which was wrongly given to them in the first place, has to be rightly revoked. They must no longer be able to vote, no longer able to hold public office, and no longer be able to take advantage of unearned welfare facilities, among other things. This and nothing short of this should shift the greater part of them. The remnant, after a reasonable period of grace, will have to be compulsorily deported without the resettlement aid given to the voluntary emigrants.

The wholly sufficient justification for total exclusion and total eviction, as far as permanent residence is concerned distinct from temporary visiting, lies in the fact that this drastic measure is absolutely essential for the survival, revival and welfare and betterment of our own Aryan Folk which has to be our overriding consideration.

Misplaced squeamishness in opposition to this measure by the weak, muddled and misguided can only mean that, faced with the choice between care for the Coloureds and care for our own Folk, hardship for the Coloureds or the far greater hardship of racial death for our own Folk, they choose to inflict this latter fate on us.

Obviously there can be no place with us for anyone who inclines to this latter preference. The right wing "patriots", whose concern for the Coloured invasion is subject to their training by the media of Democracy to worship "moderation" in the face of even the most immoderate menace as an essential part of the conditioning — for tame and tractable servants of their masters, will never bring themselves to go along with the one and only sufficient solution to the Coloured problem.

For the same reason of training plus timidity in their domestication to Democracy they are inhibited from even breaking silence, or else saying anything which is not so bound by limitations as to be quite useless, on the less recognized but even more important because more basically responsible Jewish problem. This makes them that much more undesirable to be entangled with.

DEALING WITH THE JEWISH PROBLEM

This Jewish problem arises from the presence in our midst of what is predominantly another non-Aryan minority, but one much more able and ambitious and influential and powerful.

The Jews have the enormous advantage of an ethnic religion all their own, endowing them with a divine sanction for world domination.

At a later stage in this study, when we come to deal with the religious factor relating to racial resurgence which the nationalists and even some

National-Socialists fight shy of, we will see how vital it is that, as the very foundation for the right ideology for the right people in the right forms of activity and organization for victory, we equip ourselves with no less a religious sanction and sustenance as the Jews possess.

Believing themselves to be divinely chosen for global supremacy, the Jews although they now have a country of their own, Israel, with which the vast majority of them actually have no real ancestral connection at all as compared with the dispossessed Arabs nevertheless demand and receive the right to residence and prominence and even immunity from criticism in Britain and in every other Aryan land throughout the world.

Three requirements arise from this.

Firstly, there can be no adequate assessment of the grievous ills of Britain and the rest of the White world without taking full and frank account of this paramount problem.

Secondly, there can be no sufficient remedy for it short of the Second Expulsion of the Jews from Britain, and their expulsion as well from all other Aryan lands.

Thirdly, there can be no room in the Vanguard for any person who is either too ignorant or too cowardly to face up to this problem and pursue its only true remedy, the exodus of the creators of that problem.

Those nationalists and non-party "patriots" who pay heed to and applaud and attach hope to pillars of the Conservative Party like Winston Churchill No. 2 are but a short step away from those who succumb to talking of joining that abominable association which is a living lie, conserving nothing and destroying everything at the core of our Aryan heritage to try and reform it and make it a vehicle for salvation.

This very idea that you can go into this den of iniquity, and most radically change it for the better, is a futile absurdity. You cannot reasonably hope to be able to turn into the instrument for national revival and racial resurgence, something which is under the complete top-level control of Democracy's real rulers, and which under their rule has deliberately brought about all



the conditions of national decline and racial ruin.

The idea is about as likely to succeed as that of going into an inn for the purpose of talking the publican into allowing you to display temperance literature on his bar so that his customers become teetotallers.

The same stricture of course applies to talk of joining any of the other orthodox parties of Democracy. One and all they function under tight, top control by the forces of national decline and racial ruin to give the captive and befuddled masses the illusion that they are the ones in control, having an important and effective range of choice, whereas what is on offer in the way of difference is all carefully kept comparatively insignificant and thus harmless to the masters of those masses.

The choice between these parties is consequently only a very restricted one within a rigidly enforced and held to be inviolable consensus on the common objective for all sections of Democracy.

This common objective is the ultimate World Order of Democracy in which men and women will become rootless, raceless, human economic units safely subservient to the lords of the earth.

Yet those deluded right-wingers who contemplate turning all this upside down from inside, dwellers in cloud-cuckoo-land if there was ever such, are precisely the ones who dismiss as utterly impracticable any idea of creating any new structure outside the old parties capable of overthrowing them.

However hard the latter task certainly is, it is not hopeless from the start, as is the idea of creating in effect a new party within a maleficent old party. We of the Vanguard cannot afford to be cluttered up with talk of attempting this absurdity.

DISMISSAL OF THE SIDETRACKERS

Close to those who compromise with the old order by acclaiming its equivalent of the siren of the Lorelei legend, namely the likes of Powell and Churchill, or contemplating, as it were, joining the household of the devil to make it the shrine of the saint, are those right-wingers who make it their hobby to write to Members of Parliament protesting at this and that aspect of the scheduled course of disaster pursued by their parties, and seeking to persuade them to rebel in this respect.

This is the sin of sidetracking, namely distracting and diverting time and effort into compromising involvement in the system of Democracy instead of holding aloof from it and



firmly rejecting it, and engaging in activities against it. Even if the recipient of their representation was brought by them to show the signs of some limited disobedience to the party line in this one respect, the rebellion would be restricted to this.

That M.P. would remain loyal to the rest of the grievous party policy, while the right-winger involved would be drawn into focusing on, participating in and relying on the workings of Democracy, whereas what we have to do is to boycott it.

Such sidetrackers tend to be persons of split personality, half in and half out of the system.

They are forever torn between a perception of the disaster which looms ahead at the hands of the old parties of Democracy, and a crippling craving for “respectability”, albeit in terms of the valuations of the creators of the disaster. Coupled to this is a disposition to a starry-eyed optimism which causes them to imagine that there just must be enough good in the old gang to enable it to be worked on to bring about some amelioration which is better than nothing.

Thus they incline to settle for some triviality of delay or temporary modification in manner in the passage to doom instead of holding out for and going for gold in the sense of devoting themselves to the all-out pursuit of the revolution required. Often of the same disposition, and similarly to be avoided, are the single issue enthusiasts of attenuated vision who become and remain so obsessed with some particular section of the picture of woe—such as the reduction of national self-government, abortion, immigration, crime, usury, pollution of the environment—that they come to view it in detachment, failing to see or grossly to underestimate the correlation between one thing and another, and one part and the whole, in what is a general picture of defect reflecting a total process of decay.

Failing to discern and consider the whole problem, they understandably fail to discern and consider the whole remedy required, which is a thorough change of system and society, nothing less than which will suffice properly to cure any and all of the numerous manifestations of corruption and disintegration and degeneration.

Instead, they tend to see the particular problem they focus on as being just an abnormality in a system and society which is nevertheless more or less satisfactory, a conclusion which confines them to a category of conformist.

In contrast, National Socialism offers not some patchwork repair outfit for some futile attempt to deal with some part of the total problem in isolation, but the only adequate remedy which is total replacement.

CHRISTIANITY AND ROYALTY AGAINST RACE

Right-wingers including all those who call themselves "nationalists" to distinguish themselves from National-Socialists, and particularly to separate themselves from the pan-Aryan implications of the latter are commonly subject to a disabling commitment to Christianity, at least in the background of their minds, inhibiting them from opposing it, if it does not exude to the extent of frontally avowing it and preaching it.

Religion will be dealt with in detail later. Suffice it here to say that, however unpleasant it may be to make the point to people who, tragically, have much good in them apart from this underlying and eclipsing weakness, the fact is that the creed of Christianity is unquestionably anti-Aryan in effect if not pronouncement.

The beliefs and sentiments from that creed, however singled out and detached from the rest of the collection which comprises it, are the greatest cause and constant accompaniment of all the defects and the degeneracy which it is the purpose of National Socialism to combat.

The long and the short of it is that, this being so, you cannot tackle the matter of Aryan jeopardy without confronting and rejecting and replacing Christianity. You cannot, therefore, accept and accommodate in the ranks of the real opponents of that jeopardy those who think and behave as though we have some conventional obligation to keep silent and abstain from interference in the matter of religion, or else even seek to make support for Christianity part of if not central to the cause.

The time has come for deep and clear thinking and resolute decision on all vital issues, putting aside hampering fallacies and compromises, and this unavoidably means that the time has come for the parting of the ways between thorough National-Socialists and those who are thorough Christians. No longer can we afford the obfuscation of pretending that these inherently irreconcilable creeds can continue to cohabit in the same living space.

Right-wingers, including the Tory-type nationalists who are as much attached to the old order of Democracy as detached

from it, are commonly addicted to supporting the royal family in Britain, even if only to the extent of a self-imposed abstention from criticizing it and condemning it, comparable to their self-censorship regarding Christianity.

Here also the crisis is too serious and the urgency of drastically improving our mode of dealing with it too great to allow us any longer to fudge on this matter or any other matter of importance. These right-wingers purport to perceive the royal family as a commendable, surviving representation of British nationhood, and accordingly something to be cherished and defended.

This is an appalling misconception, appalling because it is one which causes attention and effort to be wasted in upholding and venerating something which has become void and false as any such representation. Thereby that part of the public which is patriotically inclined is perniciously deluded and diverted into believing that traditional Britain is still thriving, and is thus lulled into complacency, satisfied with a semblance and pretence, while, beyond and behind the glitter and pomp of monarchical pageantry the essential Britain is disappearing down the drain.

The hard fact which, however unpalatable it is to some, has to be proclaimed is that today's royal family in this country is no more than a hugely costly, manifestly mediocre array of characters.

As their highest distinction they provide the mercenary media, engaged in promoting the disastrous policies of our real masters, with fancy, fraudulent figures with which to titillate voyeuristic curiosity, excite shallow sentimentality, and cause convenient distraction from the reality of the oncoming destruction of our heritage.

All of this sponsorship and promotion of the monarchy by the media is in the same category as the provision of circuses in the arena in the twilight days of the Roman Empire as a distraction from its imminent downfall.

At the head of this royal family we have a queen in name but hardly in appearance (being in comparison to the regal-looking Mrs Vigdis Finnbogadottir, President of Iceland, a commonplace, dumpy figure indeed), and one who verbally crowns multiracialism, ruinous to her realm, with her highest approval whenever the opportunity presents itself, such as her abominable "Christmas broadcasts."

Making the contemporary synthesis of Commu-Capitalism, she has just recently visited Moscow and laid a wreath there in tribute to the Communist war against National-Socialist Germany.

All in all, her performance is such as to prompt us to reword the national anthem to exhort the deity not to save the queen for us, but rather to save us from this woman and her hurtful activities. It would take a whole issue or special supplement of *Gothic Ripples* to present fully the case against this burdensome and harmfully distractive royal family, not one member of which has ever uttered a single word against the alien usurpation of their realm, and compared to which any shop assistant who has handed out a few National-Socialist leaflets has done infinitely more for the good of Britain.

Suffice it for here and now to mention just the following few matters as indicative of the indictment.

Part 3: UNITY ILLUSION AND REALITY

RELIGION: THE HEART OF THE MATTER

The right people for the Vanguard for victory, it has previously been stressed, have to be people capable of an all-embracing, holistic contemplation and comprehension of life, taking account of all aspects of decay and disintegration in interaction. Nothing less complete can suffice.

The attainment of this breadth and depth of insight carries with it the realization that we are faced with an all-pervasive, spiritual sickness which is the heart of the trouble, so that tackling it is the heart of the remedy. Thereby we are unavoidably brought to grips with religion.

Conventional nationalist and National-Socialist organizations, even if they do not avow Christianity, the nominally predominant religion of the White people, and evangelize for it, claim a marked merit in avoiding the subject of religion, saying that they are simply and purely concerned with "politics", which for them definitively excludes spiritual matters and thus the mainspring of life.

To depart from this exclusion, they say, is disastrously divisive, so they proclaim the subject out-of-bounds, leaving religion to the individual's choice. In so doing they implicitly, if not explicitly, declare themselves to be certainly not in conflict with Christianity, whether this is from personal conviction of its value or only because of the dictates of expediency.

Since religion, Christianity specifically, is already evident in its influence throughout the entire picture of life, as one might expect of such a naturally pervasive force, the declaration of exclusion is only one-sided in effect, incapacitating those concerned from dealing with a present and dominant element in the situation.

It is thus a disabling illusion comparable to that of "unity" by dissimilar amalgamation, dealt with earlier on.

Consequently and similarly it reflects not some vital wisdom in the emergency confronting the Aryan Folk, but a disqualifying inadequacy.



NATURE'S PURPOSE

Perceptive observation of the cosmos reveals the universal interplay of cause and effect, and with response to factors of balance and compensation; all this flux operating as an everlasting process of selection whereby the fitter forms of both animation and inanimation survive and prosper through a state of constant conflict.

Nature's aim thus revealed is not some static equality, embodying some enervating pity for the weak, defective and inferior. Instead it is a remorseless preference for the success of the superior. For human beings the driving urge, reflective of the whole process of Nature, has to be, firstly, survival, but not that alone. Through that and beyond that it has to be a continuation upwards, towards the sun of excellence, and thereby the attainment of superhumanity.

Now how far does Christianity, the White world's purported spiritual staff of life, conform to or contradict Nature's purpose: the struggle for survival and superiority? How far does it measure up as the energizing force for the revival and advancement of the Aryan Folk, being the breed which has so far most excelled in the course of mankind, and shown the greatest capacity for evolution to superman?

Before proceeding to examine these crucial questions-and, as will transpire, to a condemnation of Christianity as completely failing against these criteria -the writer is concerned to put on record that he is keenly mindful of the many, otherwise commendable people who sincerely and deeply believe in Christianity and its power to put things right. Most of all he is sorry to have to conflict with those who somehow manage, beyond his understanding, to reconcile National Socialism and Christianity to their satisfaction. Yet, overriding all resultant hesitation, he believes the primacy of the issue and the exigency of the times requires him to speak his mind.

CHRISTIANITY'S JEWISH NEXUS

Christianity's supposed creator was one in a succession of Jewish christs or prophets and preachers perambulating Palestine two thousand and more years ago, and forming sects. As to who he was and what he did and said, we are dependent on accounts composed long after his reputed time which no reputable court of law would accept as reliable evidence.

At the best the material is the outcome of a long succession of hearsay with all the inherent and cumulative disposition

to distortion that this entails, and at worst deliberate embellishment or even complete fabrication. Take your choice!

The first part of Christianity's eventually constructed bible, incorporated as being its Jewish background, is the Old Testament. This is a tedious and nauseating collection of tribal tales, transmitted from storyteller to storyteller down through the ages, gathering fanciful and figurative additions all along the way.

It supposedly chronicles the doings of the Jews from the beginning of the world, but can hardly be relied on as anything remotely close to true history. What it does present beyond dispute throughout its narrations, thereby perhaps providing their prime justification, is the pivotal claim that the Jews have been selected by their tribal god, Jehovah, as a superior people, a real Herrenvolk of Chosen Ones, entitled and destined to rule the world.

In it this Jewish Jehovah -supposedly the father of the Jesus Christ presented to us in the bible's second testament as "Our Saviour" is seen as a vicious and vindictive, bloodthirsty tyrant without mercy for the youngest and most innocent of babes.

Far from this Old Testament being of the slightest spiritual benefit to Aryans, it is absolutely appalling that for centuries since the Christian Conquest the followers of the son of the Jehovah of the Jews have steeped themselves in this repulsive conglomeration, relying on it as the truth of the origin of the universe and the emergence of man, and inflicting on their children Jewish forenames in token of their servitude. The beginning of rejection of Jewish ascendancy in the Aryan lands of the world lies in the complete rejection and exclusion of their Old Testament which inspires and justifies it as a matter of divine selection.

Embracing and venerating this Old Testament, Christians thereby accept this divine selection, and are therefore unavoidably brought to accept Jewish ascendancy in the world. Here we have the stranglehold exerted through Christianity's incorporation of the Old Testament, which is so greatly contributory to the success of Jewish ambition.

The second part of Christianity's bible, the New Testament, is an assortment of material from a variety of sources which was finally put together long after the alleged life of the alleged Jesus. The core of its testimony concerning this "Son of God" is contained in its four gospels of Matthew, Mark, Luke and John,

and the Epistles of Paul. Various biblical authorities date the Gospels to different periods within the span of approximately 70 to 150 A.D., and the Epistles to around 20 years earlier than the first of these dates.

The Gospels, which are presented as eyewitness accounts of the ostensible creator of Christianity and the creed he is supposed to have proclaimed, disagree substantially with one another and also with the Epistles of Paul who only met Jesus in an alleged vision. Furthermore, while Matthew's account is supposed to have come first in time, it is largely based on that of Mark, traditionally held to be the second in time, while it is generally conceded that the fourth account has probably been credited to John erroneously.

What emerges in the New Testament from all the blending from a variety of sources is a composite figure of a Jesus Christ invested with composite ideas and associated with composite events.

PAUL THE PRIME PURVEYOR

Paul of the Epistles was an early example of the popular Jewish practice of name-changing. This is a form of false pretences indulged in by the Jews to facilitate their dealings with non-Jews in the course of their implementation of their divine selection for supremacy by disguising their identity as Chosen Ones.

Born Saul of Tarsus, he is supposed to have been a later convert, not a contemporary disciple. Whether the Epistles attributed to him were genuinely his, or whether they were forgeries of a later date in part or whole, Paul has emerged as Christianity's chief authority for the creed of its Jesus, playing such a key role as for practical purposes to make him more the founder of Christianity than Christ.

Alfred Rosenberg, ideologist of the German National-Socialist Weltanschauung, put it this way: "The Christian movement, disrupting old forms, seemed to the Pharisee Saul to hold great promise of practical usefulness ...In spite of all subsequent attempts at reform, his teachings still remain the Jewish spiritual basis, the Talmudic-Oriental aspect of both the Catholic and the Luthern churches." (*The Myth of the Twentieth Century*, English edition, 1982, p. 35.)

In departure from the Jewish doctrinal authority, "The Talmud", with its vicious race hatred of the Gentiles—terming them "cattle" and accusing them of sexual intercourse with

other cattle (see its tractate "Abodah Zarah" 22a and 22b).

Paul, cast as "The Apostle to the Gentiles", purveys to the Gentiles what amounts to an export version of Judaism distilled in a refinery of allegorical, Gnostic syncretism.

This distillation has been a stupendous success in stupefying the Gentile mind, enfeebling the Gentile spirit, and thereby facilitating the ultimate ascendancy of Judaism's Chosen Ones. The extent of the spiritual capture and enslavement of the Gentiles by means of the synthetic nectar called Christianity has been such that the contemporary Jew Paul Oestreicher—who adopted Christianity like our earlier Paul, and so much so that he became a vicar—has been able to say with certitude: "Was not every Christian, every follower of Jesus of Nazareth, spiritually a Jew by adoption?"

A CONFESSION OF JEWISH PURPOSE

This interpretation of Christianity as a Jewish product for the Gentiles was amplified with boastful candour by the Jewish author, Marcus Eli Ravage, in articles which appeared in 1928 in the January and February issues of *The Century Magazine* in the U.S.A. from which the following extracts are taken:-

"Nineteen hundred years ago you were an innocent, carefree, pagan race. —Who knows what great and glorious destiny might have been yours if we had left you alone. But we did not leave you alone.

"We took you in hand and pulled down the beautiful and generous structure you had reared, and changed the whole course of your history. We conquered you as no empire of yours ever subjugated Africa or Asia. And we did it all without armies, without bullets, without blood or turmoil, without force of any kind.

"We did it solely by the irresistible might of our spirit, with ideas, with propaganda.

"Our tribal customs have become the core of your moral code. Our legends and our folk tales are the sacred lore which you croon to your infants.

"Our national literature is your Holy Bible. Jewish artisans and Jewish fishermen are your teachers and your saints.

"A Jewish maiden is your ideal of motherhood and womanhood. A Jewish rebel-prophet is the central figure in your religious worship.

"Nearly two thousand years ago, in far-off Palestine, our religion had fallen into decay and materialism.

"Then a young patriot-idealist arose and went about the land calling for a revival of faith. This brought him into conflict with the established order and its supporting pillars. The Roman authorities who were in occupation of the country, fearing his revolutionary agitation as a political effort to oust them, arrested him, tried him and condemned him to death by crucifixion, a common form of execution at that time."

The remainder of Christianity was drawn from elsewhere. Osiris of Egypt underwent resurrection annually, mirroring the solar year. Dionysus of Greece (identified with Bacchus of the Romans) experienced resurrection. Adonis of Greece was likewise resurrected.

The notion of a "Last Supper" came from Persian Mithraism. The idea behind the Christian Eucharist -namely the partaking of sacramental bread and wine as an act of transubstantiation whereby the body and blood of Christ is consumed -was widely prevalent long before Christianity. It occurred in Persian Mithraism and in the worship of Attis of Phrygia. The practice would appear to connect with such very ancient and widespread customs as the eating of new corn as embodying the Corn Spirit.

As for the nimbus or halo of Christianity, this was yet another adoption from elsewhere. All of the long-preceding sun-gods had this appropriate feature.

In an extension of this wholesale pilfering of beliefs, Christianity systematically appropriated pagan festivals and locations in order to facilitate its imposition. For instance, the winter solstice festival - Yule to the ancient Germanic peoples -was turned into Christmas with December 25 as the putative date of the birthday of Jesus Christ in the absence of any precise knowledge of the actual date.

The pagan festival of spring rebirth, named after the fertility goddess Ostara, and symbolized by Easter eggs, was similarly taken over to become the Christian festival of Christ's resurrection.

The old heathen festival of the dead was made into that of "All Souls." Churches were deliberately built on pagan sacred sites in the great and baleful misappropriation which was the coming of Christianity.

A BLACK RECORD

Steeped in plagiarism and misappropriation from its inception, the predatory religion which has purported to be the world's

greatest repository of virtue lost no time in beginning to establish its conspicuously black record of suppression and slaughter, exploitation and corruption which it has continued down through the centuries to the present, and which has included numerous real holocausts.

An early achievement in its constant campaign of obscurantist repression was a gigantic burning of books in 415 A.D. The great library at Alexandria in Egypt contained no less than 900,000 hand-written scrolls, a fabulous accumulation of ancient records.

Christian Archbishop Cyril of Alexandria in all his zeal to eradicate anything and everything of pagan significance competitive to the new faith managed, in a spectacular display of bringing light to the world by means of fire, to destroy this whole treasure house in but one day. The banning and burning went on and on.

Nicholas Copernicus in 1543 published his findings that the earth revolved round the sun. The Catholic Church banned them for centuries. In 1559 Pope Paul IV even produced an Index of forbidden books as a guide for further book burning.

In 1572, in a brilliant display of the perpetual brotherly love between rival bands of Christians, Catholics in France slaughtered some 70,000 Huguenots (Protestants); while in the Thirty Years. War between 1618 and 1648 the same Christian ardour succeeded in reducing the population of Germany from 30 to 7 million.

Giordano Bruno, the Italian philosopher, was treated on earth to a preview of the Christian fires of hell by being roasted to death at the stake for holding disapproved views.

Sir Francis Galton, the English scientist, in his "Hereditary Genius" estimated that between 1471 and 1781 the Catholic Inquisition burnt 32,000 alleged "heretics" and imprisoned or otherwise punished 291,000 people. In the Protestant lands fire was equally an instrument of faith. Persons alleged to be witches, meaning practising pagans, were, commonly and enthusiastically burned alive by those inflamed with Christianity. Killing and maiming and material destruction condoned if not positively inspired by Christianity has gone on up to the present day. In Northern Ireland and even in the most recent decades we have had the appalling sectarian violence wherein Aryan has zealously and diabolically murdered and mutilated Aryan over Christianity.

Alongside the fratricidal Christian conflict within the countries we have had all the inter-Aryan wars between one Christian country and another with the clergy on both sides earnestly blessing the slaughter and destruction. Thus has Christianity proved to be a gigantically divisive and destructive anti-Aryan force resulting in tremendous Aryan bloodshed catastrophically weakening to our racial resources.

Alongside this vast damage from bloodstained conflict in its name or for the niceties of its creed, Christianity has added a further vast damage from chronic corruption. Avarice and aggrandizement have continually been the practice among its privileged priesthood, while piously preaching contentment with penury for its spellbound masses.

Lands and treasure have been amassed by any and every means. Pocket money in plenty has come from a handy trade in fake relics of saints and like trumpery. Far greater gain has been derived from the big business of "indulgences", the purification of sins for a price.

For example, Pope Boniface VIII was a real entrepreneur in the business. He invented "The Holy Year", and the first one in the series worked so well as a sales promotion that the "indulgences" sold during it brought in 15 million golden gulden from the fools of the faithful.

This was no haphazard extortion racket: the embodiment of Christ's voice on earth had things properly organized with a full tariff covering the whole range of crimes for which remission could be obtained at a fixed price. And there was much more to the money-making than just this.

To a substantial extent organized Christianity has thus functioned as a facade of altruism and supernatural sanction to fool the people at large so as to permit their rank exploitation by the ecclesiastical wielders of spiritual power. Alfred Rosenberg's comment was this: "The very worst despots of the earth have not been more greedy than 'representatives of the man whose kingdom is not of this world.'" (*The Myth of the Twentieth Century*, p.98)

THE DEADLY DUALISM

What is the content of this creed of Christianity which has conquered the Aryan Folk? The doctrine is a synthesized conglomeration of ideas from earlier religions and philosophies.

It drew on Orphism, the mystic religion of Ancient Greece, and the Stoic school of philosophy of that land and time;

and the ancient religions of Egypt, Babylonia and Persia. In combination with that, it prominently embraced material from the religious system of Manichaeism, founded by Mani in Mesopotamia, which posited an absolute dichotomy between the good of the spirit and the bad of the body as a form of matter, a dualism separating the visible world or Nature, which is eternally evil, from the realm of the soul, which is divine. According to this creed, man's body is a prison for the soul, and release and redemption is only to be found in death. In keeping with this outlook of rejection of life, sex—as productive of life—was condemned and celibacy extolled.

The influence of this Manichaeism is most clearly, powerfully and malignantly evident in Christianity, being most noticeably absorbed and propagated by the early Christian sect known as the Gnostics who viewed all Nature as corrupt and foul, procreation as a matter of more sparks of the spirit being trapped in a world of rottenness and tears; and faith not knowledge as leading to god and liberation. It is against this background that we can put in place the pronouncements of Paul, the "Apostle of the Gentiles", the first great Christian missionary and theologian. This paramount propagandist proclaimed all human urges to be sinful and the world of Nature to belong to Satan.

To quote him "For I know that in me, that is, in my flesh, there dwelleth no good thing." (Romans VII, Verse 18)

In the context of this anti-Nature, anti-life outlook from the East, all the gloomy sexual prudery of Christianity, as essential expression of its theme of self-renunciation, becomes thoroughly understandable, and in contrast to the shameless joyfulness of flesh and spirit in unison of experience expressed in the pagan pro-Nature, pro-life outlook suited to Aryan welfare.

With his life-rejective material from Manichaeism, Paul certainly did a good job of incubating the bacillus for a disease of the spirit to debilitate eventually to the state of cattle the Aryan peoples of the world.

THE JEHOVAH OF THE CHRISTIANS

The Christianized Jehovah is presented to us as an anthropomorphic male who created our world at a particular moment in time who is not only omniscient and omnipotent but also benevolent. This last characteristic utterly conflicts with the never-ending, everyday experience of the most innocent



of children and the most worthy of adults suffering hideously and being killed prematurely through no fault of their own, while vile wrongdoers live on and prosper.

This pretence of benevolence is furthermore flatly contradicted by the Christian dogma of original sin, derived from the Manichean and Gnostic dualism just examined, whereby every newborn babe is seen as entering this world with the stigma of inherent wickedness.

Exponents and defenders of Christianity, when cornered with this conundrum regarding benevolence, try to escape by means of the excuse that we mere mortals cannot expect to understand the ways of the Almighty. Well, if this deity is beyond our comprehension, it is curious that his upholders are so readily able to proclaim their certain knowledge of his existence, his superlative qualities, and his wonderful provision of a beatific life after death for us, if we behave ourselves according to his dictates.

Christians are enjoined to get down on their knees—an abasement as typical as the parsonical whine resorted to by the clergy in their accompanying supplications—and pray to this unfathomable deity as though, despite his omniscience, he needs to be told our thoughts.

They are led to believe that through this ritual he is accessible to personal requests of all kinds, or so believe those like the vicar in the north of England who, in a radio interview a while ago, after describing himself as an ardent fan of his local football team, confided that he always prays that his team will win. Just imagine this anthropomorphic arbiter in the clouds besieged at his telepathic telephone exchange by all the conflicting calls for a win made by all the similarly pious football fans on both sides of each and every game!

In the same realm of absurdity you can reasonably presume that there are Christians in plenty in the U.K. praying at this moment for success in the National Lottery.

THE SAVIOUR'S SHEEP OF SUBMISSION

What is the actual message Jehovah's son Jesus is supposed to have brought with him and communicated to mankind on his miraculous visit to earth, and on which basis has been founded the creed which has conquered the Aryans? Characteristic of it, decisively and summarily, are the following sentiments he is supposed to have expressed in his Sermon on the Mount as contained in the Gospel attributed to Matthew:-

"Blessed are the poor in spirit: for theirs is the kingdom of heaven. "—Matthew V:3.

"Blessed are the meek: for they shall inherit the earth."—Matthew V:5

"But I say unto you, That ye resist not evil: but whosoever shall smite thee on they right cheek, turn to him the other also."—Matthew V:39.

"But I say unto you. Love your enemies, bless them that curse you, do good to them that hate you, and pray for them which despitefully use you and persecute you."—Matthew V: 44.

It is not honour or courage or competitive and industrious effort and achievement which are upheld here or elsewhere for the Aryans and other Gentiles.

Whereas the Jews in their "Talmud" and "Torah" are enjoined to outwit and deceive and exploit and dominate non-Jews, the non-Jews are enjoined in the New Testament to be humble and self-denunciatory, and not to face up to and fight enemies seeking to overthrow them. What really counts, the Christians are told, is not striving to live to the full in this life on this earth, but pursuing detachment from worldly things, focussing attention on death and its blessed release to the hereafter where, if deserving, they will be rewarded by becoming eternal wraiths in some heaven beyond the clouds, and, if undeserving, will deep-fry in hell for evermore.

Who can find in the above message of submission the makings of a fighting creed for Aryan survival and advancement, and thus see in the messenger a "saviour" for us in this life struggle?

Appropriate to this abject creed of submission, its symbol is no warrior's sword but a cross of sacrificial crucifixion. Equally appropriately its believers are told "The Lord is thy shepherd", casting them in the role of docile sheep. Thus we have here in essence a doctrine for our downfall through self-induced debility of mind and spirit.

CREED OF RACIAL RUIN

In earlier times the Aryans survived and prospered not because of Christianity, but despite it: not through any strict conformity to its enfeebling doctrine, but through general departure from it, practising the semblance but ignoring the substance. In awful aberration, they even opposed, curbed and assailed the progenitors of their religion, the Jews.

Things have now much changed. Nowadays the creed has been developed to express all the inherent implications of its essence as contained in such pronouncements attributed to its Jesus Christ as the sections of his Sermon on the Mount just quoted; and with insistence on the implementation of all those implications. Christianity has in other words now come to full flowering fruition.

In the forefront today of the forces working for our racial downfall, at least in effect, if not also in intention-and it makes no practical difference which-are the priests and promoters of the Christian religion, and there is no gainsaying or excusing this fact.

Everywhere they are to be found engaged in anti-Aryan activity as the enactment of their creed. Championship of Coloured immigration into Britain, enthusiasm for racial integration here and everywhere else, joyful patronage of miscegenation, denunciation of racial feeling, agitation for more and more overseas aid for Coloureds: any and every aspect of racial jeopardy to our Aryan Folk, you name it, and the gentleman of the cross are there, making it the crusading sign of Aryan racial ruin.

A declaration of war on racial patriotism came from England's Archbishop of Canterbury on 17 September 1993 in the words "Every human being is equally precious in the sight of God. Christian people should condemn and resist racism by all lawful means."

In other words, you just cannot want to keep Britain a White man's country, if you are a Christian. Instead, you have to be in favour of and work for a multiracial and eventually mongrelized Britain in a race-mixed world.

America's globe-trotting, Christian revivalist preacher, Billy Graham, has voiced the same, anti-Aryan, Christian objective: "Integration is the only solution. We've got to be totally integrated-in our homes, in our worship services, even in marriage." (*Sun News U.S.A.*, 2 November 1994).

BIRTH AND DEATH: WHO DECIDES?

The harmfulness today of the Christianity of its leaders, with their frontally developed insistence on its fundamental principle that all human beings are equally precious in the sight of its "Lord", lies not only in that form of egalitarianism which rejects differentiation between races, and instead promotes race mixture.

It lies also in that other form of egalitarianism which rejects evaluation within the race, and instead opposes any and all eugenical proposals for the betterment of the breed. For ardent Christians such use of intelligence in the direction of procreation is horrific, an intolerance usurpation of the authority of their awesome Jehovah. To them it is as though, contrary to their own premise as to man's creation and endowment, this colour-blind and quality-blind ruler did not grant them intelligence in the first place with which to procreate wisely instead of copulating blindly.

With the same leave-it-to-god illogicality, those same, ardent Christians passionately and tyrannically oppose any benign measure of euthanasia, not merely for themselves but also for everyone else not agreeing with them. Consequently, for example, in Boise, Idaho, U.S.A., they have fought to keep alive, permanently, on a respirator in the St. Luke's Regional Medical Centre a child born with 85% of its brain missing, and thus on the presumption that dear old Jehovah intended it this way, short-supplying the child with only 15% of a brain while nevertheless endowing others with the full cerebral capacity whereby to invent and operate the respirator, unless it is that the latter accomplishment is to be seen as usurpation of his authority also, in any and all its application.

Whatever the interpretation, it looks as though Jehovah saw fit to invest the child with an extra large dose of original sin in place of the ability to do anything redemptive about it, which only goes to show how right the Christians are in declaring that their deity moves in mysterious ways.

These followers of Jehovah and his alleged male offspring fiercely seek to deny terminally ill people a merciful escape from degradation and prolonged suffering on the same self-contradictory reasoning that only the deity can sanction and bring about an ending of a misery which in all his benevolence he must have been responsible for in the first place: a line of argument which by extension would support the contention that all medical knowledge and practice should be eschewed as interference with god's will.

As against this pernicious mental warp of Christianity, it may well be argued that, subject to due safeguards against abuse at the hands of and to the benefit of others, it should be everyone's right in a truly free society to secure, if and when desired by lasting decision, a painless exit from this present life

in the flux of Nature. While so habitually intolerant in the above respects, our ardent Christians have a far different attitude with regard to homosexuals, vicious criminals, drug addicts and carriers of AIDS, the disease of such addicts and queers.

Here their passionate plea is all for understanding and tolerance.

SOCIETY'S SPIRITUAL SICKNESS

Christianity's harmfulness today extends far beyond just the agitations of its contemporary leaders and the attitude of its churchgoing flock or even all professed Christians. In its modern development and promotion of poisonous principles present from the start, Christianity has vaporized from the pulpit to percolate everywhere, permeating the whole atmosphere of society, providing the moral and metaphysical underlay for the generality of thought and action in the Democracies of the White peoples.

At the bottom of and behind and throughout the whole drift and drive to Aryan downfall in all its aspects, being the biggest single and most fundamental reason for it, the spirit and notions of Christianity amount to the Zeitgeist of this oncoming Age of Aryan Ruin.

With its emergent emphasis on equality, racial mixture, environmental instead of hereditary factors, and its espousal of virtually all other Leftist concerns, Christianity today demonstrates its affinity with Communism. Just as Communism has seemingly and nominally withdrawn precisely because it is being absorbed and better advanced within Democracy, as the successive vehicle for its purposes, so too its counterpart, Christianity, may deceptively appear to be on the wane in Aryan countries because of reduced church attendance, whereas in reality - and with all the benefit of the mind-moulding media of television (Judahvision) for the diffusion of the Zeitgeist its fruition has in fact now arrived in the ascendancy of its social and political influence under Democracy at the conclusion of the present century.

As in this way providing the spiritual inspiration for the fast-succeeding cause of the Untermenschen against superhumanity, Christianity carries on where Communism, in metamorphosing politically into Democracy, left off. Thus, under Democracy today Christianity, Communism and Capitalism all coalesce as the distinguishing feature of the dawning new age at the turn of the century.



His society imbued with the Christian ethos, worldwide the White man is on the run, heading by way of decline and surrender to submergence and extinction. The sickness of the spirit, which is Christianity in its maturity, explains the White surrender in Rhodesia some years ago, and the White surrender in South Africa just recently.

In South Africa, beyond whatever sustenance for apartheid the Afrikaners derived from the Old Testament's admonishments for Jewish segregation -which may well have had much to do with the power allowed to the Jews there, and the close ties between that country and Israel - ultimately the overriding message of the New Testament for the Gentiles transcended and triumphed, sapping and overcoming their will to preserve their supremacy.

The A.W.B. organization there has indulged in a lot of gun-waving battle-talk, but South African White resistance to Black take over has been vitiated and etiolated through saturation in the precepts of contemporary Christianity.

In Britain today the same spiritual sickness permeating the public at large regardless of whether individuals go to church or not, or declare themselves Christian or not -is the prime reason for the ghastly situation here. The Christian ethos is the basic explanation why a once great, fighting people, valiant and victorious in war after war, has now succumbed to a peacetime invasion by vast numbers of every kind of racial alien; not only allowed them in but, figuratively speaking, got off the pavement into the gutter to make way for them, lavishing their resources on accommodating them, lauding them as carriers of culture, contriving advantages for them over their own folk, restyling their language so as not to offend them, gaoling their own people if they speak out too strongly against them, and so on.

Exactly the same spiritual climate is responsible for the degeneration taking over our youth, the jeopardy of our elderly from the soft treatment of crime, the symptomatic ugliness of modern art, architecture and music: the whole vortex of decadence.

BELLOC'S BRIDLE

Christianity, in its modern maturation as the spirit of society under Democracy, plays a crucial part in providing the Jews with their place of special prominence in that society in keeping with their tribal aspirations. Not only professing Christians, sensitive to their creed's Jewish nexus, but as well

the whole television public subjected to the leaven of Christian softness and submission-variously known as "tolerance", "permissiveness", "compassion" and "humanitarianism"-along with the constant and intensive Jewish and pro-Jewish political propaganda are indoctrinated with deference to the Chosen Ones, even to the point of abject veneration.

In the resultant state of stupefaction they not only now themselves abstain from any solid criticism at all of the Chosen ones, but approve punitive prohibition of the same for others as an offence coming close to profanity.

This induced "political correctness" has reached a high point in the unquestioning acceptance of the Jewish holocaust legend as a cardinal article of Christian belief and jointly a dogma of Democracy, illustrating the concordance between the two in present society. An example of the inhibitive effect of Jewish - derived Christianity, even decades ago, preventing any proper treatment of the Jewish Problem, and leading to the present paralysis in this respect, is the attitude of the Catholic Hillaire Belloc who wrote a book of severely restricted comment on the Chosen Ones entitled "The Jews" , early this century.

The secretary to whom he dictated it was a Jewess, the Jew Maurice Baring was his lifelong friend, and because Hitler broke the Jewish power in Germany in favour of his own people, he approved the carnage of a world war to punish Hitler and restore that power, writing as follows in "The Catholic and the War" in 1940.

"The Third Reich has treated its Jewish subjects with a contempt for justice which even if there had been no other action of the kind in other departments would be sufficient warranty for determining its elimination from Europe. "

Part 4: FOLK

The immediately preceding instalment in this series dealt with one of the great Rs in the lexicon of the Vanguard:

Religion. This instalment proceeds to deal with the second: Race. It does so within the context of the community of race, designated as the Folk, and this in historic perspective. Religion and Race go hand in hand in complementary roles. In their interaction the spirit of man is a reflection of the physical and mental nature of the body, and this nature is in turn a reflection of his spirit.

A most vital requirement, which must become an unfailing hallmark of the men and women of the Vanguard, is the attainment of a high degree of racial awareness; this, joined to the religious awareness already dealt with, being the precondition for their role in the struggle for victory which thereby can, even now, be successful.

This racial awareness is tri- partite in constituent requirements, encompassing a knowledge of racial differentiations, a knowledge of racial history, and a knowledge of our racial jeopardy today and for the future.

The thesis now to be presented, summarized in advance, is that all that has been illustrious in the past of our people has been no mere product of environmental factors identically affecting all human beings, but instead the inseparable consequence of the distinctive racial nature and outstanding racial capacity of our people, inconceivable without that nature and that capacity.

Through that racial nature and capacity our people have been pre-eminently the makers of civilization. Today in the cumulative consequence of a long period of decline, currently aggravated and accelerated most massively, the makers of civilization, and thus civilization itself, are undergoing drastic decline leading to eventual disappearance.

Just as we are confronted with a spiritual sickness, contained in Christianity, which has to be overcome, so too we are confronted with its corollary, a racial sickness, specifically equalitarian multiracialism, which has to be defeated if it is

not to defeat and end us. Today we lie in the deadly grasp of Democracy, declared to be the finest conceivable system, yet instead to be discerned as just about the very worst imaginable because of the extent to which it inherently serves to disguise and defraud concerning its purposes, workings and consequences.

Instead of devotion to the production, protection and elevation of higher humanity as the indispensable means for a higher human society in fulfilment of Nature's evolutionary design, Democracy in all its known practice is manifestly devoted to the disregard, the denial and the dismissal of this purpose.

HUMAN DEGRADATION: DEMOCRACY'S DESIGN

The underlying design of Democracy's masters is to bring about a deracinated, mongrelized, standardized, man-centred, materialistic, consumer-conceived world citizen. This is so because such a processed deviant will be the human unit most advantageous to the would-be controllers of the globe in pursuit of their purses and power.

Thus the synthetic serf who is the designed subject of Democracy, instead of being distinguished as a member of the Folk, the community of his race, is conceived as a creature of the market place of the world, a conforming unit in a global herd, a consumer of material products and manufactured ideas, kept subdued and content with the lure of lucre and the lust of commercialized sex.

Conflicting with Nature's purpose of upliftment over all past millenniums, and instead pursuing the path all history has shown to be that of the downfall and disappearance of civilizations of the past, the system and society of Democracy is thus intended to multiply and elevate the inferior of this earth in place of the superior; this for the better benefit of the rapacious lords of this earth.

Herein lies the succinct truth expressed by Britain's great pioneer of the Folk ideal, Arnold Leese, in the three words: "Democracy is Death."

The furtherance of this deadly racial design of Democracy amounts to a colossal conspiracy of harm reaching into every part of life. It starts in the schools with the ruthless and rigid exclusion of all Folk knowledge, and the systematic suppression of our children's instinctive racial sensitivity, and in its place the persistent and multifarious indoctrination of

race mixture, accompanied by unisexual and even homosexual egalitarianism. For this mental rape and perversion of our children the foul perpetrators of this crime, namely teachers, rightly deserve to be shot on sight, one and all of them, even if we currently confine ourselves to their execration.

Beyond that starting point in the schools, serving as incubators of racial ruin, a miasma is daily disgorged by the enemies of our Folk throughout every avenue of life and into all reaches of society, stifling racial knowledge and numbing racial sensitivity. Others of alien race, even the very lowest in capacity, are ceaselessly projected and elevated favourably to familiarize us with them as acceptable, facilitating the next diabolical step which is to accustom the public to interracial integration and sex.

The coming together on the television screen and in the newspaper and magazine columns is intended to find its culmination in the bedroom, and thereby on the death-bed of our race which is miscegenation. Here again the responsible enemies of our Folk, wreaking their hate and harm, richly deserve the most severe and salutary punishment.

THIS IS GENOCIDE

So it is that this conspiracy is in reality nothing short of genocide. In support of this contention there can now be cited the Genocide Convention of none other than one segment of the vast apparatus of that conspiracy, to wit the United Nations.

This Convention defined "Acts Constituting Genocide" in its Article II as follows:- "In the present Convention, genocide means any of the following acts committed with intent to destroy, in whole or part, a national, ethnic, racial or religious group, as such."

It then went on to include as one of these Acts:

(c) "Deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part."

Think about this, and you will surely come to appreciate that this is exactly what in all its implications, present and future is happening: genocide!

Only a lunatic, however, would expect any succour from the United Nations for this form of genocide.

At this point, before proceeding to distinguish and define in detail what is meant by our "Folk", and to identify its making of civilization in recent millenniums, thereby evaluating the

loss if Democracy succeeds in its ultimate objectives, so that an Age of Darkness descends on the world; some consideration of geophysical prehistory affecting our most distant ancestors will be useful to set matters in fuller perspective.

This will allow for the likelihood of civilizations beyond our ken and record in these present days when our own civilization is crumbling away.

The warnings now being issued as to the gigantic and disastrous effects to be expected from what is called "global warming" should make us more readily receptive to the indications of long distant, vast upheavals in the world's ground surfaces water levels and climate occurring repeatedly for one reason or another from the point of the world's creation out of the infinity of the universe.

The emergent fact is that of interminable flux as the characteristic of that universe. With its recognition must surely come the realization of the possibility at least, even without signs which exist and increase, of great and vanished civilizations besides and preceding the recorded ones of general acquaintance.

A stimulus to this expanded perception of life is provided by a 1995 report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, reflecting a consensus of 2,000 top meteorologists and other experts consulted, which recorded several of Antarctica's ice sheets disintegrating, flowers and grasses rapidly appearing there, and seas rising rapidly with, as the result in view, "the end of a 10,000-year period in which relatively stable climate has allowed human civilization to begin and flourish." (*Independent on Sunday* 15 October 1995)

LOST WORLDS AND VANISHED CIVILIZATIONS

This mention of melting ice sheets and a rise in sea level calls to mind the fascinating abundance of folk memories of lost lands and sunken civilizations, a lesser one of which was the reputed land of Lyonesse, featured in Arthurian legend, said to have been located off the south coast of Cornwall between Land's End and the Scilly Isles, and eventually submerged.

Another was the sunken continent of Mu, investigated and presented by James Churchward in his books including *The Lost Continent of Mu* (Futura Publications, 1974). He located Mu in the Pacific as extending from north of Hawaii to as far south as the Fijis and Easter Island; described it as dominated by a White race, and declared it was obliterated by



terrific earthquakes and associated submersion 12,000 years ago. Best known of all is Atlantis, subject of speculation in hundreds of books as to its whereabouts in the Atlantic or elsewhere: a fabulous land of spectacular development which also experienced terrestrial upheaval, an upheaval causing its submergence.

Jurgen Spanuth (*Atlantis of the North*, Sidgwick & Jackson, London, 1979) plausibly centred it on Heligoland, and dated its submergence in the sea at 1200 B.C.

He equated it with the land of the Hyperboreans, depicted in the myths of Ancient Greece as a people living in a land of sunshine and plenty beyond the north wind to whose wonderful apple orchard Homer made reference, and which has a suggestive similarity to the Hesperides, or Islands of the Blessed of Ancient Greek and Roman myth, home of the legendary golden apples of immortality.

This also connects with the Arthurian legend of the apple island called Avalun or Avalon to which King Arthur was conveyed after death.

The *Oera Linda Book*, an ancient history of the Nordic Frisians of present-day Holland, spoke of a lost land of Atland as an outstandingly civilized, large landmass around the north and east of the British Isles which was submerged in 2193 B.C. in consequence of a cosmic impact.

Its climate was described as sub-tropical, a contrast to that of the area today which, however, should occasion no surprise when account is taken of the fact that Greenland is known once to have had a sub-tropical climate also, and scientific investigations have shown that the coasts of the Antarctic Continent were once free from ice and that the south polar climate was warm.

Indeed a Dr. B.G. Tilak has put forward the speculation that about 10,000 years ago all the Aryan tribes lived in the polar region, but were forced south when the ice sheet formed there.

Whether this particular theory is sustainable or not, it is an accepted fact that after 1400 B.C. the climate changed in Britain and across Europe, becoming colder and wetter than before.

As for the recurrent alterations in sea level, one has only to take note of the undisputed fact that Britain was once physically joined to the Continent of Europe, and that Alaska and Siberia were once upon a time similarly joined, to find

nothing unbelievable or even strange in the legends of lost lands.

Folk legends so often preserve at least a kernel of historical fact lost to conventional history. Indeed, enshrined in the nursery stories of giants and the folk tales of "wee folk" may well be the persistent memory of some distant time when a breed of huge humans did exist, and also a period when a breed of dwarfish humans did live in caves and underground refuges, both becoming extinct by slaughter or mutation or cosmic collision.

COSMIC COLLISION

A remarkable change of climate at the poles is easily and sufficiently explained by a geographical shift of the poles towards the equator, or even a full geographical reversal of the poles; given a necessary triggering phenomenon. Such a triggering phenomenon could be a change for whatever reason in the polar magnetic axis, or alternatively the effect of some planetary body passing very near to the earth, if not actually hitting it.

A prominent exponent of the latter explanation was Immanuel Velikovsky (*Worlds in Collision*, Victor Gollancz Ltd, 1950) who contended that the planet Venus, born from Jupiter, passed near to the earth, nearly colliding with it, before settling into orbit.

This had catastrophic effects, including maybe tilting the earth's axis, and occurred about 3,500 years ago. Relevant to polar change is an amazing map of the world published in 1531 A.D. by a leading cartographer of the time, Oronteus Finaeus, which showed an Antarctic with an ice-free circumference and accurately marked rivers and mountains not visible today because under a great depth of ice. The cartographic section of the U.S. Air Force at Westover Air Force Base in Massachusetts, U.S.A., investigated the map some years ago and concluded that it was very accurate. One can thus only suppose that the map was based on some record of the long distant past now unknown to the world.

The Finnish epic, *The Kalevala*, pointed to a time of cosmic upheaval when, temporarily, the sun and thus the image of the moon disappeared from the sky. This, presumably, was due to the irregular interposition of some other cosmic force.

The great master of the sublime music of our race, Richard Wagner, portrayed in "*Gotterdammerung*", the final phase of

his operatic cycle, *The Ring*, the Ragnarok of Norse legend, the culminating conflict of forces out of which the old order is destroyed, but from which destruction a new order is generated.

Ragnarok, the legend has it, was precipitated by the Fimbulvetr, the terrible winter when the frost giants ruled. This may be taken as the figurative folk memory of one of the recurrent ice ages experienced by our planet as a result of cosmic alteration of one kind or another.

HANS HORBIGER: THEORIST OF FIRE AND ICE

What has been said so far concerning cosmic change and catastrophe brings us to the theory of Hans Horbiger (1860-1931). As expounded in *The Glacial Cosmogony of Horbiger* by Philip Fauth, published in German in 1913, the greater part of it written by Horbiger himself, this is the theory of perpetual struggle in infinite space between fire and ice, the forces of attraction and repulsion, resulting in recurrent extinction and renewal. It is a theory providing a comprehensive vision of the evolution of the cosmos, and the changes on earth, corroborated by ancient mythology. It accounts for great civilizations preceding the more immediate and publicized ones by hundreds of thousands, maybe millions of years. Such a time-scale makes a back-cloth against which our own contemporary situation of rise and fall becomes dwarfed!

In contextual significance, however much we in that situation rightly invest it with relative importance. In the beginning of the earth, said Horbiger, there was a generative conflict between fire and ice in that a far larger sun than at present collided with a huge planet of cosmic ice which penetrated it deeply, resulting in an explosion out of which was ejected the material to form the earth and its moon.

This explanation echoes the ancient Norse Edda, repository of much ancient record in mythical form, which speaks of Ginnungagap as a place and time where matter (ice) and energy (fire) came together in an act of creation. According to Horbiger, the key to an understanding of great geological and climatic changes which time and time again have convulsed the earth, bringing a sudden and catastrophic end to civilization after civilization beyond our ken, and which will happen again and again, lies in our moon, or more precisely in the succession of our moons.

The moon over an immensity of time, he contended, is caused to approach the earth in an ever-narrowing spiral which



brings it even to hit the earth, and thereafter to relinquish its role in relation to the earth, moving off into space, to be replaced by another secondary planet attracted into service as an orbiting satellite of the earth.

In the course of this lunar descent on the earth, the gravitational pull of the satellite causes the earth's seas to rise greatly, drowning people and places, artefacts and edifices and the accumulated knowledge of civilizations. The moon we see today, illuminated by the sun as the powerhouse and life-giver of our existence, is the fourth of such satellites to be captured by the earth, said Horbiger.

His theory has been summarized in English by H.S. Bellamy in his *Moons, Myths and Man* (Faber & Faber, London, 1936). Whatever the verdict on Horbiger's theory, there is undeniable need for a structure of thought which is nothing less than cosmic and life-wide in order to generate the power of mind, through the force of comprehension, to tackle successfully the task confronting us.

Such an all-embracing outlook is named a "Weltanschauung" in German National-Socialist terminology. Those without it, meaning mere nationalists, "patriots" and nominal but underdeveloped National-Socialists, lack all that greater capacity for, successful struggle to be derived from breadth and depth of knowledge put to action by zeal and faith, and so cannot cope with the demands of that struggle.

The purpose of *The Way Ahead* is a mobilization and equipment of the mind through the full development of the inherent implications of the National-Socialist Weltanschauung.

RACIAL DEFINITION

Let us move on now to consider and establish definitions of Race as the prior step to further examination of the subject. Here the first choice is between the school of thought which establishes by a grouping of physical and mental characteristics a number of races as overlying the contemporary nation-states, thus providing a basis of thought for a bond transcending those nation-states; and, on the other hand, that school of thought which views a nation-state as itself creating in time, through common residence and common culture within it, a race out of nationality.

An exponent of the latter view was Sir Arthur Keith in his book *A New Theory of Human Evolution*. An exponent of the former was Hans F.K. Gunther. The writer of this article



adheres to the attitude of this last authority. He accepts that the community of a contemporary nation-state does create, through the mingling within it over the course of time a distinct blend of people which may be regarded as being a secondary race. However, he views this as after all a blend of primary races, each one of which is manifest as extending beyond national boundaries by virtue of its points of identity or at least most close similarity transcending those points of difference between nations brought about by the formative conditions of national segregation.

The classification thus overstepped is one which this writer feels over- states the ethnic significance of nationality. It is one, he feels, which carried to its logical conclusion opens the door to that grievous article of faith of Democracy that residence, not race, is the determinant of nationality.

Hence anything and everything human taking up residence here in Britain automatically becomes as British as our ancestral racial stock. Nationality, thereby, becomes reduced basically to a matter just of geography. There is next the need to avoid the pitfall of reading racial significance into what is really only a linguistic link. This is the assumption that because people speak the same language they are necessarily akin racially which is most clearly not so. Taken to extreme lengths this fallacy would racially equate the English-speaking Negro from Trinidad with an English-speaking descendant of the Vikings in the Yorkshire Dales. On a less ridiculous but still fallacious level, this mode of assessment confuses and combines quite different racial elements among the White people of Europe and the rest of the world.

WHAT IS "ARYAN"?

This now brings us to consideration of the term "Aryan" and differences of opinion on this score. On the one hand there are those who argue that the term is of linguistic significance only, relating to peoples speaking languages of such marked similarity as to justify the banding together of those languages as "Indo-European"; and that accordingly it should be abandoned as a racial term.

Gunther is among them, saying: "To-day the term Aryan has gone out of scientific use and its use is not advisable. Racial investigation in the beginning sometimes called the (non-existing) white or Caucasian race Aryan; later the peoples of Indo-European speech were occasionally called Aryan; and

finally the Nordic race also was termed Aryan" (P. 257, *Racial Elements of European History*, Methuen, London, 1927).

In agreement with Gunther is Madison Grant, author of the equally important work, *The Passing of the Great Race*, Charles Scribner's Sons, New York, 1918). He dismissed as "mythical" the denotement of an Aryan race, saying: "The name" Aryan race, must also be frankly discarded as a term of racial significance . . . although there was at one time, of course, an identity between the original Aryan tongue and the race which first spoke and developed it" (p. 67).

In conflict is the learned L.A. Waddell who in his massive tome, *The Makers of Civilization in Race and History*, Luzac & Co., London, 1929) developed his case impressively for the usage of the term as a synonym for the Nordic race. He endorsed the English scientific writer, T.H. Huxley, who in an article entitled "The Aryan Question" in the *Nineteenth Century* magazine (November 1890) stated "There was and is an Aryan race, that is to say the characteristic modes of speech termed Aryan were developed among the Blond Longheads alone, however much some of these may have been modified by the importation of Non Aryan elements."

A middle ground is occupied by those, seemingly including Adolf Hitler, who have used the term not as an indiscriminate one for all of the White races speaking Indo-European languages, but for people who have at least a substantial Nordic element in them, if not a predominance of that element, the rest of their racial make-up being of other White stock. Providing use of the term does refer to a substantial Nordic element in those to whom it is applied, it seems acceptable. Otherwise the collective term "White"—accepting that this is properly speaking not a reference to some single race but to the whole array of White races—seems preferable.

That Hitler's National Socialism conceived and cherished the Nordic element as the central and most important element of what it referred to as "Aryan" is borne out by the emphasis placed on it by that National Socialism's vanguard, the SS. Its Engagement and Marriage Decree, issued above Himmler's signature, stipulated: 1. "The SS is an association of German men of Nordic determination selected on special criteria."

EUROPE'S FIVE NATIVE RACES

In now distinguishing the various White races, the five-fold classification of Hans F.K. Gunther will be followed. The first of

these races, and for us ancestrally the most important, is the Nordic Race, which Waddell and Gunther were in agreement on as being the great creator and mainstay of civilization.

In physical characteristics the Nordic is tall, longheaded, narrow-faced with a narrow nose with a high bridge, smooth or wavy blond or brown hair, deep-sunk blue or grey eyes, and a fair skin. In mental characteristics the Nordic is frank, boldly courageous, inquisitive, given to calm judgement; has a strong sense of justice and is endowed with energy and also restraint and self-discipline. He is marked out to be an organizer, an aristocrat, a leader and a ruler.

Next is the Mediterranean Race which in physical characteristics is short in stature, long-headed, narrow-faced with a narrow nose which has a high bridge, smooth or curly brown or black hair, deep-sunk brown eyes and a brownish skin. In mental characteristics the Mediterranean is passionate and excitable, highly individualistic, and with a lesser sense of law and order than the Nordic, but in artistic accomplishment he can excel the Nordic.

Thirdly we have the Alpine Race, physically characterized as short in stature, round-headed, broad-faced with a flat and short nose with a low bridge, and with stiff brown or black hair, obtrusive brown eyes and yellow-brownish, skin. Alpines are characteristically hard-working, stolid and narrow-minded and unimaginative, lacking initiative, and averse to individuality.

Next is the Dinaric Race which in physical characteristics is tall, short-headed, narrow-faced with a very distinctive steep back to the head, having a very prominent nose with a high bridge, curly brown or black hair, deep-sunk brown eyes and a brownish skin. The Dinarics are downright, good-tempered and brave, and rated by some as second among the races of Europe for mental capacity.

Finally of the five races of Europe is the East Baltic Race; short in stature, short-headed, distinctively broad-faced across the cheek-bones, with a rather broad and short nose with a low bridge, and with stiff and light hair and grey or whitish blue eyes and a light skin with a grey undertone. People of this race are inclined to have a changeable disposition, and, it is said, to act without thinking and to think without acting.

What marks out the European nations, one from another, are the proportions of the mixture of the five races distinguished by Gunther.

These proportions can be viewed both laterally in the populations of districts and individually in the racial composition of persons. From this an overall assessment can be reached. Doing so, Gunther, writing in the 1920s, assessed Britain then as Nordic 55-60%, Mediterranean 30% and Alpine 10%. A reprint of Gunther's classic work should be in the home of every member of the Vanguard.

EUROPE'S ANCIENT ALIEN INFLUX

In thus making a racial assessment across Europe, there is need to take into account the appreciable influx of other racial elements from outside Europe into many parts of it. This has happened not only in the present century and most substantially during the second half of it in consequence of Democracy's disastrous "victory" in its anti-Aryan Second World War; but also in times long earlier.

This influx came about partly through hostile incursions. One such was that of the Asiatic Huns who overran and remained in occupation of much of Europe for a couple of centuries commencing with the 4th A.D.

Another was that of the Moors, a mixture of Arabs and Berbers from North Africa who invaded Spain in the 8th Century A.D., and, while defeated by the Nordic Franks under Charles Martel in 732 A.D., were not finally ejected from Europe till 1492 with the result that many Spaniards today show the genetical evidence of the long Moorish residence.

A third was that of the Asiatic Turks, originating in Mongolia, who in 1550 had gained control of the Balkans, Greece remaining under that control for nearly 400 years till 1821, and with substantial racial results.

Besides such hostile incursions there was also the importation of slaves such as in Roman times.

Later on there was the admission of colonial subjects, such as the Malays into Holland since the 17th Century A.D.; and the miscegenation which sooner or later resulted from this. In consequence, Eastern Europe obtained both a Hither Asiatic strain and an Inner Asiatic strain, which latter strain is also present in Northern Finland and in Sweden and Norway.

Southern Europe, particularly Spain and the south of Italy, obtained a Hither Asiatic strain also, along with a Negro element. Spain and the south of Italy also obtained a lesser Oriental strain. Considering Jews now, and continuing to refer to Gunther as our authority, he began by dismissing talk of a



“Semitic race”, laying down that there are only Semitic-speaking peoples. Thereupon he defined the Sephardim or southern Jews as a mixture of Oriental, Hither Asiatic, Mediterranean, Hamitic, Nordic and Negro, with the Oriental predominating. The Ashkenazim or eastern Jews he defined as a mixture of Hither Asiatic, Oriental, East Baltic, Inner Asiatic, Nordic, Hamitic and Negro, with the Hither Asiatic predominating.

In so far as either the Oriental or the Hither Asiatic element predominates in the presence of other, non- European, racial elements, Jews cannot rightly be regarded as White, which term can only rightly refer to those with a predominance of one or a mixture of several of the five White races classified by our authority, and this without the inclusion of any other racial element whatsoever.

Support for this view comes from Jewish authorities themselves. For instance, the South African *Rand Daily Mail* (15 May 1972) under a headline “Jews Are Not White” quoted Jewish scholar, Prof. Leonard Fein of Brandeis University in the U.S.A., as addressing a congress of the South African Jewish Board of Deputies in Johannesburg, and saying: “You are not Whites - either symbolically or literally ...”

In his scholarly book *The Genetics of the Jews*, (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1978) A.E. Mourant declared: “Nearly all Jewish communities show a substantial proportion of African Negroid marker genes, such as to imply a total Negro admixture of the order of 5 to 10 percent.”

There can be added to this the statement of Dr. Leo Sachs, head of the genetics section of the Weitzmann Institute of Science in Israel, speaking in New York on a visit a while back, that his study had revealed that all Jews “have certain strong similarities in certain characteristics, such as the fingerprints, which indicate ancestral origin in the Eastern Mediterranean.”

Part 5: EUGENICS

A State which, in an epoch of racial adulteration, devotes itself to the duty of preserving the best elements of its racial stock must one day become ruler of the Earth." Adolf Hitler, *Mein Kampf*, James Murphy edition, P. 378.

In Part 4 we surveyed various races, arguing for the identification and preservation of our own Nordic or predominantly Nordic Race within the Aryan racial assortment.

That is one half of the subject matter of the great R of Race, partnering the other great R of Religion in the Vanguard's alphabet of vital reform.

The other half is the concern to improve our racial stock by increasing the higher strains and decreasing the lower strains and eliminating the defective strains. This is the field of eugenics to be dealt with in this part of "The Way Ahead" series.

Paper propositions of amelioration are plentiful under Democracy. The best of proposals, however, depend on and are determined by the quality of the people involved, both those applying the proposals and those to whom they are applied. They come to frustration, not fruition, if the human material is inadequate, as is characteristically the case under Democracy.

It is precisely the transcendent proposal of breeding better people as the direct access to a better world which Democracy in deference to its manipulators and clientele inevitably and eternally excludes from all consideration.

This is so because Democracy is the virtual parent of Communism in all essence in its ultimate implications and full consequences. We have now reached the stage of metamorphosis in the West where formal Communism can seemingly withdraw because of the extent of its absorption by advanced Democracy in the synthesis of Commu-Capitalism. With the covert Communism of Democracy, no less than with the overt form, the materialist environment of the masses is held to be the determinant of Man, not heredity. The oracles of Democracy, the system which creates a debased human herd, argue that eugenics lowers humans to the level of animals. Humans, they declare for the purpose of the argument, are

too high and mighty to breed "like animals". To this contorted contention the overpowering answer is that it is precisely because humans are on a higher level than animals that good breeding which is accepted and pursued in good animal management is that much more justified in good human management.

DEMOCRACY BASED ON RACIAL DEBASEMENT

How illustrative it is of this perverse and ruinous outlook of Democracy that its citizens are obliged to take a driving test and to be licensed to drive a car in order to reduce the risk to others, including the precious class of overlords, whereas anyone, however deficient and degenerate and diseased, can freely, without let or hindrance, produce more of his kind, regardless of the cumulative harm to society over subsequent time.

The contradiction is immediately resolved once it is taken into account that Democracy essentially depends on a low-grade human herd as the means and surety for the undisturbed domination of the overlords.

Hence sex, the fountain-head of life, is reduced under it to a sensual pastime, massively commercialised and deprived of all racial purpose.

Thus this incubating agent for Communism is in its pose to the public inevitably and eternally committed to the criterion of equality, to the arbiter of the head count, in conflict with quality as an objective.

Finding its sustenance in the hallucinogenic vapours of contemporary Christianity, it not only holds that all races are equal and mixture commendable, but that all bipeds pronounced human, whether a genius like Richard Wagner or Isaac Newton or Rembrandt or Michelangelo, are likewise to be equated in civic evaluation.

Accordingly for its propaganda purposes in flattering and charming and retaining the support of the masses, Democracy makes out it upholds and champions any and every depraved dolt engaged not in contributing to a flowering of human achievement, or even the steady functioning of ordinary society, but to the brutish procreation of even more dolts. Great minds have not failed to reject the racially debasing process of Democracy, and to voice the eugenic imperative contrary to it.

Alexis Carrel, 1912 Nobel Prize winner, on the staff of the Rockefeller Institute of Medical Research in New York for nearly

30 years, did so in the following concise words in his perceptive treatise, *Man, the Unknown*, (Pelican Books, 1943): "Modern society must promote, by all possible means, the formation of better human stock." (P. 277).

"Modern nations will save themselves by developing the strong, not by protecting the weak." (P. 273).

"It is imperative that social classes should be synonymous with biological classes." (P. 273).

The botanist Luther Burbank did so expansively in the following frank words: "Will the growing intelligence of man forever tolerate the wholesale production of the ever-lasting proportion of idiots, morons, mongoloids, insane, criminal, weak, destitute, nervous, diseased half men and women who infest the earth to their own sorrow and disgrace and perhaps the ultimate destruction of our present state of civilization?"

Oliver Wendell Holmes, distinguished judge of the Supreme Court of the U.S.A., did so in affirming in 1927 the constitutionality of state laws for the sterilisation of the mentally defective, when he declared: "It is better for all the world if, instead of waiting to execute degenerate off-spring for crime, or to let them starve for their imbecility, society can prevent those who are manifestly unfit from continuing their kind."

EUGENICS IN SPARTA AND ROME

Eugenical action is no new concept of modern times. The tiny Sparta of ancient times withstood and predominated over far more numerous neighbours through the promotion of quality which prompted its people to leave defective children out on the hillside to die.

There we have a prospect certain to send the slobbering Christian democrat of today into a paroxysm of remorse.

Marriage outside the Spartan folk community was forbidden. There were penalties for celibacy and late marriage, and men who fathered several children were exempt from various obligations. All such racial right practice gave rise to a society ruled by devotion to duty and honour and community service, the features so flagrantly rejected by Democracy and so vital for a resurgent Aryan society.

Said the Greek philosopher Aristotle of the Spartan: "Such a man chooses to live nobly for a year rather than to pass many years in ordinary life, and he will rather do one great and noble deed than many small ones."

What a world apart from the ethos of Democracy, centred on the feeding-trough and the constant thought of "What's in it for me?"

Ancient Rome, in the heyday of its pristine vigour, culled Nature's failures as did Sparta. The earliest, written, Roman, legal code, the Law of the Twelve Tables, which was first set down in writing about the middle of the fifth century B.C. but was based on a much older, legal tradition, specifically called for the immediate destruction of any conspicuously defective infant. Five centuries later, the Roman statesman, Lucius Annaeus Seneca (4 B.C. -65 A.D.) confirmed the continuation of this far-reaching, beneficial practice, writing "We drown the weakling and the monstrosity. It is not passion, but reason, to separate the useless from the fit."

The pursuit of racial betterment has only in recent decades become something condemned and prohibited, and this in the wake of the victory of Democracy in alliance with formal Communism in 1945.

Given the name of "eugenics" by its founding theorist, the distinguished scholar, Francis Galton, in the second half of the last century, it flourished in influence for many decades prior to then. By the outbreak of the First World War, promotional societies were thriving in both the U.S.A. and the U.K. The first public meeting of a Cambridge (England) society was told by Prof. Inge that, unless controls limited the reproduction of the urban proletariat, it "may cripple our civilisation as it destroyed ancient Rome."

Leftists were not then immune or antagonistic to the new thought: Labour Party pioneers, Sidney and Beatrice Webb, who wrote the syllabus for British socialism, expounded their conviction that the social theory of collectivism required the state to ensure that only the fittest survived.

U.S.A. PIONEERS STERILISATION

In modern times it was the U.S.A. which preceded National-Socialist Germany with eugenic legislation. As far back as 1907 the Indiana legislature passed the first state sterilisation law, making sterilisation mandatory for confirmed criminals, idiots, imbeciles and other institutionalised people when deemed appropriate by an expert board.

Thirty states had sterilisation laws by 1931, and by 1939 more than 30,000 people had been sterilised on eugenic grounds.

With the National-Socialist attainment of power in Germany, there came into being the first state in the modern world based on the bedrock of systematic, racial betterment, pursuant to Adolf Hitler's dictum in *Mein Kampf*: "The Weltanschauung which bases the State on the racial idea must finally succeed in bringing about a nobler era, in which men will no longer pay exclusive attention to breeding and rearing pedigree dogs and horses and cats, but will endeavour to improve the breed of the human race itself." (P.228, James Murphy edition).

In July of the very first year of power, a law for the Prevention of Genetically Diseased offspring required social workers and nurses to report all apparently "genetically defective" individuals to the health authorities, so that a genetic health court could decide whether they ought to be sterilised because in the judgement of the court they suffered from genetic illness such as feeble-mindedness, genetic epilepsy, schizophrenia, manic depressive insanity, genetic blindness or deafness, Huntington's chorea or serious physical malformation.

In November of the same first year a law against Dangerous Career Criminals allowed castration of sex offenders.

HITLER'S RAPID RACIAL REFORM

By early next year, 205 special eugenic courts were established, each consisting of a judge and 2 doctors, and with a series of Higher Hereditary Health Courts to deal with appeals. one year later nearly 100,000 applications for sterilisation—mostly by social workers on behalf of their clients—had been forwarded to the courts, and almost 56,200 operations had been carried out. (These facts from *Mothers in the Fatherland*, by Claudia Koonz.)

The law for "Preservation from Hereditarily Diseased Posterity", enacted in July of 1935, provided for sterilising individuals with specific hereditary diseases, and in October of the same year the law relating to Matrimonial Hygiene prohibited marriage between persons with hereditary disease, requiring henceforth for marriage a medical certificate of biological fitness.

Couples were required to take a medical examination before marriage, and marriage was forbidden between those suffering from any genetical infirmity specified in the July 1933 law.

According to Cesare Santoro's sympathetic survey, *Hitler Germany* (Internationaler Verlag, Berlin, 1938), German statistics at the time showed that about 400,000 needed to be



sterilised, arising from the following categories of hereditarily transmitted diseases: Congenital feeble-mindedness 200,000, schizophrenia or dementia praecox 80,000, maniacal depressive dementia 20,000, epilepsy 60,000, chorea 600, congenital deafness 16,000, congenital cecity 4,000, serious physical deformities 20,000, hereditary alcoholism 10,000. It has been estimated that about 400,000 were sterilised between 1934 and 1945.

Racial investigation and dissemination of racial knowledge abounded in the revolutionary New Germany of National Socialism as never anywhere else before or afterwards. By 1936, 10 German universities had Racial Hygiene departments, and all the others taught Racial Hygiene in their medical faculties; and many racial hygiene institutes also existed.

Grandfather of the awakening was Fritz Lenz, author of *Die Rasse also Weltprinzip*, (Munich, 1933). The Kaiser Wilhelm Institute for Anthropology, Human Genetics and Eugenics had by the end of 1934 trained 1,100 physicians in "genetic and racial care", and by 1940 had published a total of 569 scholarly works on racial hygiene and allied fields. (*Racial Hygiene, Medicine under the Nazis*, Robert N. Proctor, Harvard University Press, 1988; Pages 41 & 42).

The office of Racial Policy, between its foundation in 1934 and 1938, organised 64,000 public meetings and seminars. It acquired a staff of 3,600. Its journal, *Neues Volk*, attained editions of up to 300,000.

It may be noted in passing that under German National Socialism the health of the race, the betterment of the race, was an all-embracing concept encompassing thought in advance of that prevalent than elsewhere, such as the holistic view of "the whole man", natural methods of medical treatment, concern with the toxic effects of substances such as tobacco, heavy metals, asbestos and alcohol, and with the importance of a diet rich in fruit and fibre and inclusive of whole-grain bread.

In 1935 Reich Physician's Leader, Gerhard Wagner, denounced the modern world's white bread, and in a speech at the 1938 Nuremberg Congress spoke out for a diet of less meat and fats, more fruit and vegetables, and more whole-grain bread.

German medical journals in the 1930s warned against the ill effects of artificial colourings and preservatives in foods and drinks. Adolf Hitler gave 100,000 RM from his own personal

funds to the first Institute for the Struggle against Tobacco, established at the University of Jena in 1942.

In underlying conflict with the Christian conception of legitimacy, created by conformity to that creed's ritual of marriage, was the eugenical one of National Socialism, recognising illegitimacy only in procreation which was racially detrimental.

In similar underlying conflict with Christianity was the National-Socialist attitude to abortion, seen not as a universal evil in interference with the workings of the deity, but as something abhorrent specifically when harmful to the race, while acceptable and desirable when racially beneficial.

This brings to mention one of the most maligned features of the race-based Germany of Adolf Hitler: the Lebensborn project.

LEBENSBOHN: A RACIAL WELFARE SERVICE

Luridly portrayed by the lying democratic propaganda as some blend of brothel and stud farm, the Lebensborn maternity homes were absolutely nothing of the kind.

Inaugurated in 1935 to counter the appalling loss of racially valuable babies, there having been nearly a million abortions in Germany the year before the National-Socialists came to power, their stated aim was "To care for racially and genetically valuable mothers of whom it may be assumed, after careful investigation of their own family and that of the father, that they will give birth to equally valuable children."

From the start they cared for mothers both married and unmarried, and children both those rated "legitimate" and those rated "illegitimate" in conventional parlance.

They were not limited to SS families. Only women already pregnant were admitted. Men were only allowed to visit the homes on special occasions, and no sexual contact was allowed in them.

A mother could opt to have a child adopted or to bring it up herself. If she chose the former, the greatest care was taken with adoption. If she chose the latter, she received an allowance on leaving the home until able to manage for herself.

At the other end from promoting the entry into the world of racially desirable, new life was the issue of promoting the exit from it of human monstrosities who otherwise cause an immense drain on the resources of the community.

Here we are talking of children born with gaps where facial organs should have been, missing limbs, eyes which never open



and tongues which protrude grotesquely, heads larger than bodies or as small as fists, open skulls in which part of the brain is missing, and heads with eyes in dislocated positions; adults who as long as they live have to be kept lying on sand or sawdust because they perpetually soil themselves, even putting their own excrement in their mouths as if it were food.

According to Christianity, all such creatures are the precious creations of the deity who gives them life and appoints their death, and hence are to be preserved at all cost, which must distinguish that deity as an abomination also.

For National Socialism the kind necessity is to put such errors of Nature out of their misery painlessly and promptly. Hence National-Socialist Germany introduced a euthanasia programme which is reckoned to have rid the country of some 70,000 such creatures.

ARE THEY HUMAN?

Before precipitately succumbing to the frantic pangs of Christian revulsion at the first sound of a suggestion of such a programme, face up to and tally the cost and the cruelty of prolonging the life of such creatures who cannot properly be called "human"!

True kindness conjoined to racial responsibility justifies and demands their elimination as part of the stern measures which have to be pursued to save the race.

As Friedrich Nietzsche put it: "What causes more suffering in the world than the stupidity of the compassionate?"

The German public was enlightened as to the dire menace of hereditary defect and disease through a series of films in the cinema, produced by the Racial and Political office, beginning with *Sündender Vater* (Sins of the Fathers) and *Abseits vom Wege* (Off the Path) in 1935, and continuing with *Erbkrank* (Hereditarily Ill) in 1936, and *Alles Leben ist Kampf* (All Life is Struggle) and *Opfer der Vergangenheit* (Victims of the Past) in 1937.

All this unique work for racial betterment was brought to a halt and reversed in the outcome of the great war for Democracy, 1939-1945. Its victory brought in the present dark age of racial downfall. Under it in Britain today welfare benefits are accorded for offspring regardless of their inferiority and defectiveness, and that of their parents; and the Health Service and Social Services call out constantly for more and more money to cope with the consequences of hereditary defects.

Britain's vaunted "Welfare State" is in fact its Woeful State in its dysgenic features and effects, dedicated to the care and promotion of the lower and lowest levels of life in preference to and at the expense of the higher order of humanity. According to a survey in the London *Independent* (6 January 1994) "A million people in Britain are members of families affected by genetic disorders and 15,000 babies are born each year with significant inherited disabilities. "Scientists have described more than 5,000 inherited disorders caused by single gene defects."

Over 30 years ago, Her Majesty's Stationery office report, *A Census of Patients in Psychiatric Beds*, revealed that on census night, 31 December, 1963, there were 195,811 people on the books of psychiatric hospitals and units of whom 135,831 were in mental illness accommodation and 59,980 in that for subnormality (London, *Daily Telegraph*, 5 May 1967).

Evidently not satisfied with the numbers, a BBC Radio 4 programme in December, 1982, deplored the lack of sexual opportunities for the mentally handicapped, seeing it as an awful restriction that they lacked the fullest opportunity to produce more and more of their kind to the peril of the public purse and the health of the nation.

HEREDITARY DISEASES AND DEFECTS

One hereditary disease of the brain is Huntington's chorea, distinguished by speech disturbances, mental deterioration and uncontrollable movements, ending in total incapacitation and death, and for which there is no successful treatment.

There were 6,000 in the U.K. with this disease previous to 1979 who had by that date by democratic right of procreation brought into the world 30,000 children and grandchildren similarly afflicted, and the proliferation has proceeded apace since then.

Down's syndrome is far and away the commonest form of congenital mental deficiency. Another hereditary disease is Friedreich's ataxia, characterised by a hardening of the spinal column, swaying and paralysis. As far back as 1979, 1,000 babies were being born each year with this disease.

Another is Homocystinuria, an inherited biochemical disorder whose clinical signs include mental retardation, thrombosis, and a weakening of the wall of the big arteries to the extent that they sometimes burst. Along with spinabifida, muscular dystrophy and cystic fibrosis, there is a severe

combined immune deficiency whereby a child is programmed by genes inherited from its parents to have no immunity to disease, so that it dies from the first infection.

There is also Lesch-Nyhan syndrome which affects only boys, causing spasticity of the limbs, involuntary movements, gross mental retardation and a bizarre compulsion to self-mutilation.

One could continue with the catalogue of congenital defects and diseases which deny a person a proper life, yet which are preserved and patronised under the system of racial decline and death known as Democracy.

The British Medical Association voiced the mentality of this sinister system in a pronouncement relating to a court case concerning whether a 17-year-old girl with a mental age of 5 should "as a last resort" be sterilised specifically because she could not cope with pregnancy and childbirth, and for no other reason.

It laid down that "There should never be the slightest suggestion that sterilisation is being carried out for 'eugenic' reasons, that is to prevent the birth of another mentally handicapped child." (London *Daily Telegraph*, 17 March, 1987).

Jews may consider themselves divinely selected, but their Jehovah has not chosen to render them immune to hereditary diseases, including some peculiar to them.

Ashkenazi Jews, who are those from Eastern Europe and who make up the majority of world Jewry, are ethnically unique in being subject to the incurable Tay Sachs disease, a genetic disorder causing progressive destruction of the central nervous system.

Children afflicted with the disease usually die before they reach four years of age.

Another hereditary disease of ethnic peculiarity is sickle cell anaemia, an inherited disorder affecting the red blood cells which assume a sickle shape instead of the normal spherical shape, and which is peculiar to people of African, Afro-Caribbean, Asian and Mediterranean origin, the last-named where a negroid racial infusion can be suspected.

Its symptoms range from weakness, swelling of hands and feet, lowered resistance to infections, growth disturbance, enlarged heart, enlarged liver and lymph glands, and impaired renal function. There is no known cure.

JUKES AND THE SPREAD OF DEGENERACY

The appalling, ultimate cost to society of tolerating the proliferation of degenerate stock was exemplified in the record of the Jukes family presented in Lothrop Stoddard's classic study, *The Revolt against Civilisation*, (Chapman & Hall, 1922).

One lazy vagabond named Jukes, living in the U.S.A. in the early 18th Century, had two sons who married five degenerate sisters, resulting in six further generations by 1877 numbering about 1,200 persons distinguished by idleness, viciousness, lewdness, pauperism, disease, idiocy, insanity and criminality. The terrible fact is that the lower the human type, the greater its reproductive achievement. When Stoddard's book was written, the Eugenics Record Office in the U.S.A. considered that over 30% of the entire U.S. population carried some form of mental defect. That was three-quarters of a century ago, so what of the percentage now?

The plague of civilisation Stoddard defined as the extirpation of the superior strains and the multiplication of the inferior. "Late marriage, fewer children, and celibacy combined to thin the ranks of the successful, diminish the number of superior strains, and thus gradually impoverish the race.

"Meanwhile, as the numbers of the superior diminished, the numbers of the inferior increase. No longer ruthlessly weeded by natural selection, the inferior survived and multiplied."

These inferior elements Stoddard assessed as instinctively or consciously the enemies of civilisation.

On the hereditary factor in the delinquency plaguing contemporary society, J. Philippe Rushton in *Race, Evolution and Behaviour*, (Transaction Publishers, U.S.A., 1995) reported: "In a study of delinquency among 530 adolescent twins by Rowe and Osgood (1984), path analysis revealed not only that antisocial behaviour was about 50 percent heritable, but that the correlation of 0.56 between the delinquency of an individual and the delinquency of his friend was mediated genetically, that is, that adolescents genetically disposed to delinquency were also genetically inclined to seek each other out for friendship." (P. 82).

At the other end of the eugenic spectrum, Francis Galton, the founder of the science, in his *Hereditary Genius*, (Julian Friedman, London, 1978) examined 300 families containing between them nearly 1,000 eminent men, 415 of whom he distinguished as illustrious. (P. 316).



Concerning in this connection the notion that inbreeding is always damaging, Sir Arthur Keith (*A New Theory of Human Evolution*, Watts & Co., London, 1948) clarified the matter on Page 143: "All depends on the quality of the genes assembled in a group pool: if all are health-giving, then all will be well; but if there be a proportion, even a small proportion, of defective or recessive genes, then repeated mating within a small isolated group will speedily bring defective genes together, so damaging the life of a group."

SHOCKLEY SPEAKS OUT

Concerning the genetic peril confronting us, Dr. William Shockley, 1956 Nobel Prize winner, was outspoken despite a contemporary environment fiercely hostile to eugenics.

In an article in the April 1981 issue of *Leaders* magazine, entitled "Intelligence in Trouble", he warned: "The effectiveness of human leadership will deteriorate on a worldwide basis by the year 2000 because of the action of dysgenics on their followers. Dysgenics is backward evolution caused by the excessive reproduction of the genetically disadvantaged." He proposed that low IQ people be paid for agreeing to be sterilised, the rate being \$1,000 for each IQ point below 100; the expenditure being justified by the fact that each of these payments might save taxpayers as much as \$250,000 through not having to care for the mentally retarded off springs of the man's liaisons. (*Master Race*, C Clay & M Leapman, Hodder & Stoughton, 1995).

He was not afraid to declare in relation to Blacks always scoring some 15 points lower than Whites in IQ tests: "The major deficit in Negro intellectual performance must be primarily of hereditary origin and thus relatively irremediable by any practical improvements in the environment."

Prof. Arthur Jensen, Shockley's contemporary, reached the kindred conclusion that heredity accounts for about 80% of the difference in IQ between Blacks and Whites, likewise his colleague, Hans Eysenck.

REPRESSING RESEARCH

All of those academics who have dared to highlight heredity have been victims of persecution by the forces intent on repressing their research and its eugenic implications.

Eysenck was assaulted by invading Marxists while lecturing at the University of London, and he and Jensen had to have police bodyguards for years.

Philippe Rushton, a professor at the University of Western Ontario, became scheduled to be prosecuted by the Canadian Government for "hate crime", although the prosecution failed because of lack of pursuit by the Minister of Justice: the "hate crime" being that his disclosure of the results of his IQ and brain size studies had brought him to express the conclusion that racial equality is a non-existent figment of multiracial propaganda.

Another victim has been Edinburgh (Scotland) University lecturer, Christopher Brand, who in his book *The g Factor - General Intelligence and its Implications*, (John Wiley & Sons Ltd, 1996) has courageously affirmed the dominant role of heredity in intelligence, and proclaimed Blacks to be less intelligent than Whites.

"I am perfectly proud to be a racist in the scientific sense," he has told Student magazine in April, 1996.

His publishers have withdrawn the book from distribution in response to intense pressure from the tyrants of Political Correctness.

DENIGRATING GENETIC MANAGEMENT

As part of the Continuing campaign against eugenics, a great effort is being made to turn people against anything which can be termed "genetic engineering", seeking to frighten them off with Frankenstein imagery.

Of course anything under the sun can be misused, but this ever-present and universal possibility should not deter us from considering and accepting the great good that can be obtained through genetic management.

The development of techniques whereby scientists can influence or Control the characteristics passed from one generation to another may someday lead to the possibility of eliminating hereditary diseases and defects and deformities.

It can also lead to the cultivation of genes resulting in improved human faculties, and increase health all round. Research on human embryos could lead to the cure of cancer.

Standing in the way of such desirable progress are the cohorts of Christianity such as those leaders of the faith who petitioned the U.S. Congress for a ban on research into genetic management: an advocacy of Obscurantism Plunging us back to pre-Galileo times, substituting superstitious ignorance for knowledge. This was the attitude responsible for the April 1989 decision by the European Community's

Research Commissioner to ban research in to the genetic origins of disease on "ethical" grounds , and which incurred the following caustic comment: "A ban on human embryo research will condemn future generations to suffer 4,000 inherited diseases which could be eliminated if scientific work is allowed to Continue, says a report Published today. About 14,000 babies a year are born with diseases which could be detected by embryo screening, claims the Interim Licensing Authority," (*Daily Telegraph* London, 28 November, 1989).

In the first few weeks of pregnancy, foetal cells can be extracted and examined and defects discovered, and a defective child aborted. Beyond this, embryonic biopsy is the name for a screening whereby eggs are taken from the mother and fertilised in vitro, grown to 8 or 16 cells, a cell taken off each embryo and developed as rapidly as Possible, and then only disease-free embryos are put back into the mother.

Said *Instauration* magazine (U.S.A., June, 1986): "Destroying embryos that contain genes that cause hereditary diseases and defects would be the greatest boon to human development and progress since Homo Sapiens evolved from Homo Erectus. What a deflationary boon for health care, the spiralling costs of which are now threatening to bankrupt many Western nations!"

COMMITMENT TO BETTER THE BREED

As indicated in opening the subject, what we Aryans desperately need is better people, not better land for existing people. Eugenics, put into public practice as the selective control of procreation to uplift the breed by eliminating the inferior and defective, and promoting the superior and faultless, is the greatest conceivable means for human improvement, infinitely more efficacious than any grandiose policies dependent on and spoilt by the poor quality of persons involved.

Yet this super-highway to super-humanity is day under Democracy wholly a maligned and disregarded route. As also said before but also here deserving repetition in conclusion, this so because of Democracy's underlay of Christianity, that great obfuscation embracing the belief that the giving and taking of life is the exclusive prerogative of a deity who fathers and cherishes all human beings his handiwork, regardless of their Condition.

Persons committed to this dismal dogma will never prove reliable for the full requirements of racial struggle in all its rigour. Up to a Point they may contrive to reconcile the

irreconcilable, but sooner or later they will reach a point where they back off in dismay, stopping short of what is necessary, and retreating into the comforting cocoon of their delusions.

Electioneering is also to be seen, like Christianity, as always a bypass to racial betterment, and never a route to it; being a forlorn comment to the corrupting pastures of compromise. This is so because the process of electioneering is to make the body concerned palatable to the great mass of the public, while that great mass incorporates all the inferior and defective elements who need to be acted against, and accordingly can be depended on fiercely to oppose eugenical measures affecting them which will only be introduced and enforced against their will, and never by their mandate. Hence the inevitable price of fighting elections is stultifying and emasculating inhibition which becomes habitual.

Electioneering has to begin with self-imposed censorship, preventing the presentation of so much that is essential. It has to continue in the cast of compromise, constituting conversion to the desires of the lauded, common herd.

It has to end, if this prostitution does bring enough votes, in the abandonment of principles, in the attainment of a powerless power in that conditioned by compromise, brought to power by compromise, that custom of compromise causes power to be spent in the avoidance of doing what is essential.

Thus the right route to racial betterment is not through the nullifying wilderness of electioneering, seeking popularity in the cause of illusory, ballot box success, but through methodical progression to the seizure of power by feasible methods to be examined later in this series.

Meanwhile, members of the Vanguard can here and now positively pursue real, racial betterment by carefully investigating and wisely selecting a person of high-quality stock to mate with, just as they can in a variety of other ways attain now in microcosm the future New Order.

Part 6: IS ELECTIONEERING THE WAY TO WIN?

The objective of the Vanguard is success in the pursuit of power, meaning the whole compass of opportunity and ability to implement our ideas for the salvation, upliftment and prosperity of the Aryan peoples.

For this successful pursuit we need the right sort of people, inspired by the right sort of ideology, and brought together in the right form of organisation with the right methods. Nothing else will suffice.

In thus defining our purpose, we are currently prompted by the proximity of a General Election in the United Kingdom to raise the question whether the conventional political party with its integral commitment to electioneering is the right form of organisation with the right sort of people and the right methods for our purpose.

Does it instead represent a woefully mistaken, habitual conformity to gross waste in the pursuit of an utterly illusionary aim?

The British National Party and other lesser nationalist parties in this country, where at present there is no outright National-Socialist party, believe that the way ahead must be through a political party contesting elections.

The BNP has accordingly committed itself to putting up 50 candidates in the forthcoming General Election, a target fixed precisely because of the free, five-minute television and radio time this extent of candidature will entitle it to, along with free mailing of election literature.

It and the other participant parties of nationalism are currently convulsed with high excitement in their feeling of having climbed out of the shadows of comparative obscurity to join in "the big time" of ballot box competition.

The BNP explicitly recognises, realistically, that on this occasion it cannot expect to capture any parliamentary seats, or even in almost all constituencies to regain—through securing the necessary proportion of the total votes cast—the £500 deposit required of a candidate by the authorities.

Nevertheless, it is insistent that the operation will prove immensely worthwhile in the recruitment obtained through contesting. It rightly points out that for a cost of some £50,000 in deposits and the other expenses of contesting, such as printing costs (although not accounting for the value of all the time expended by party members on the electioneering), the party can gain around £3 million of television time, plus the value of the accompanying radio time, plus something in the region of £60,000 of postage.

Considered purely on this arithmetic, the financial return so exceeds the financial outlay as to make the venture greatly worthwhile.

However, a full and accurate assessment has to delve much deeper than this attractive but superficial reckoning. Recruitment, the BNP has named as the immediate objective and justification for its electioneering, so what volume of recruitment and quality in that volume can be expected for the expenditure of the £50,000, plus the value of all the time expended?

Set by its own criterion, the BNP case for fighting the 1997 General Election stands or falls on this assessment.

In the final analysis this becomes the simple question of what amount of extra work and financial assistance can be expected from the total of election-won recruits in return for the total expenditure in obtaining them, and subtracting from this the further cost in accommodating them and keeping them content with membership.

We will look into this crucial question in the course now of an examination of the whole question of party politics under Democracy.

THE GREAT PRETENCE

Democracy is the Great Pretence in politics, the pretence that the people rule themselves whereas in fact some particular people do the ruling for them. Under it, periodically we have a festival of head counting whereby, after candidates acceptable to the permanent dictators behind the scenes have been put before the public, and notions favourable to those candidates have been implanted in the minds of the public by the media, the public are said to decide between these candidates and so determine the government.

Certainly some extent of divergence is necessarily presented between the approved candidates in order to give the illusion of

free choice. However, the divergence is kept within the family, as it were, confined within safe bounds. Thus the seemingly different parties are virtually but different wings of the same team, wholeheartedly agreed as one and the same in opposition to what National Socialism holds to be vital for the salvation, upliftment and prosperity of the Aryan peoples.

Consistent with this, whenever the contrived array of parties comes up against a potentially dangerous outburst of discontent, safety valves are created.

Bogus champions of rebellion are set up as in the case of Enoch Powell in the 1960s on immigration, and now on European union the billionaire, Jewish financier, sir James Goldsmith, and his Referendum Party, and, to cater for all tastes, the United Kingdom Independence Party.

Showing their bogus nature, both of these parties have a lot to say about the infringement of British national sovereignty by the European authorities at Brussels, but not a single word about the infringement of our sovereignty by way of the alien influence in and on British government at London emanating from such as the fellow tribesmen of Goldsmith.

Patriotic Britons who persist in remaining blind to this disqualifying contradiction, hailing these fakes as St George-like saviours, are pitiable in their so easily satisfied gullibility. Goldsmith at least lives up to his name. The *Sunday Telegraph* (London, 21 April 1996) revealed regarding this shining crusader of Britain's national sovereignty nitwits, descended from the Jewish ghetto of Frankfurt, Germany: "He keeps his wealth in gold and foreign currencies, dipping in and out of the world's stock market like a gambler when he senses a swift profit." One thing we can be certain of is that this man's profit is not ours, swift or otherwise. Anyone so shallow minded as to be drawn by election fever to support him or any other representative of the Old Order of Democracy is clearly and conclusively feeble and not of Vanguard quality.

What we need and want is freedom from the Goldsmiths of this world, whether based in Britain, Brussels or the Back of Beyond. Getting Britain out of Europe is not the supreme need. Getting the tribe of Goldsmith out of power in Britain and elsewhere is.

RULE BY BRAIN-BENDER BOX

The success of the Great Pretence of Democracy is attributable to the success of its practitioners in utilising the

power of the media which they almost exclusively control, including the positively hypnotic power of the kind of image radiation peculiar to television which makes it unique as a means of mind control because of its magnetic capacity.

These radiations, resulting from the electronic scanning peculiar to television, bombard the brain at a rate beyond the ability to cope of that part of the brain capable of reasoning, so that the passively uncritical part of the brain predominates.

This visual hypnotism is comparable to the audio hypnotism achieved by repetitive, ultra-rapid "rock", radiated beyond the pulse rate of the human body, and thereby its ability to resist domination, which has reduced the younger generation to a zombie-like condition.

Democracy's dictators have established their domination of the ballot box through their domination of this compelling force of the brain-bending box in well-nigh every home, whereby the minds of the masses are enslaved to the system.

Thus this dictatorship cannot be overthrown by playing the party game of the ballot box in the absence of gaining power over the brain-bending box, either by acquiring an adequate television facility in competition or by putting the television transmitters of the dictators out of action and keeping them out of action.

Even Adolf Hitler could not today have succeeded otherwise in attaining state power, and we have no faint shadow of a second Adolf Hitler around today.

The mental enslavement of the masses is set to be made even more secure by the forthcoming introduction of 30-channel, digital television on top of satellite and cable television.

Thus will primary television be reinforced in providing a daily, round-the-clock domination of the minds of most of the people, and thereby the majority of voters in the beguiling business of parliamentary elections as the core of Democracy's confidence trick concerning public rule.

This digital access to the brain box as decisively influential to the verdict of the ballot box is to be in the hands of a consortium encompassing the British Sky Broadcasting of media magnate, Rupert Murdoch, whose mother was Jewish, making him by rabbinical standards Jewish too; Carlton Communications run by the Jew, Michael Green, worth £200 million; and the £7.5 billion Granada group; all very indicative of government of the people by and for the Chosen People.



So what of the BNP's goal of five minutes on the box? The realistic answer is that it has to be measured in effect against the effect of all the rest of the time, day in, day out, year in, year out in which the dictators of Democracy have been and will be conducting on that box what amounts to constant electioneering.

They have been conducting this in the ceaseless propagation of their propaganda, both directly in the presentation of alleged information, accompanied by the elimination of contrary information, and indirectly in the deliberate and highly suggestive projection of fictional material favourable to their aims, for example the portrayal of inter-racial liaisons in an attractive setting, this being far more effective than mere exhortation to this end.

The BNP's five minutes on the box cannot be more than an inconsequential flicker in comparison. Can the cost of this flicker prove worthwhile? In estimating the answer to this question, we have to analyse the nature of a political party in relation to the nature of the British public today. A political party today is a reflection of the Democracy under which it operates, whatever it may incorporate in seeming challenge to that Democracy. A party is wide open to the masses who have been moulded by indoctrination by the media of Democracy, and that party's ultimate aim is to solicit successfully the sufficient approval of those indoctrinated by the media of Democracy. Electioneering and the party are thus inseparably linked, the electioneering of the party being pitched at the masses and the party in turn seeking to incorporate the masses.

The vast majority of the people who will watch the BNP's appearance on television and will read the BNP election literature coming in the post will be either Whites who are firm opponents or hostile Coloureds, and on all of these the indiscriminate output will be completely wasted, so that in respect of most viewers and readers what will have been costless will be gainless.

Beyond that there can be expected to be a comparatively small number of people who nod their heads in agreement, but who do nothing more about it. Beyond that there can be expected to be a comparatively minute number of people who are sufficiently stirred to write for more information and even to enrol. Among them will probably be a very small part of them who will not only continue their membership permanently,

but prove prepared to contribute substantially more than the cost of retaining them, these last-mentioned being the ultimate gauge of the worth of the operation, and probably costing in terms of the expenses of electioneering for their procurement a very high price each.

The great majority of that minute minority who may be recruited, temporarily at any rate, as the reward of the current electioneering will be conspicuously and injuriously the products of Democracy, far more reflective of the system, its outlook, its values and its ethos than rebellious to it, their rebelliousness in most cases being only to some part or parts of it without depth of perception of the interaction of all parts of the come pattern of decay.

As carriers of the psychological diseases of Democracy, they will have at least as much capacity to infect others as to improve them. As background to this, it has to be faced up to that the great bulk of British people of today, while certainly not the scum of the earth, equally certainly not the salt of the earth as so many nationalists fond of making out in flattering them for votes and membership.

A great part of the best of the breed was thrown away in the death toll of mad wars against our brother nation, Germany. What remains has in most cases been duped and doped, degraded and corrupted by years of never-ending influence by the media of Democracy, their strength of character so sapped they have become docile to the point of acquiescence in their own national and racial ruination, displaying the dismal demeanour of a beaten people.

It is thus fantasy to think that salvation can come through soliciting masses. They will not be brought to undertake an uprising.

On the other hand they will not take to the streets in violent defence of the system. As a motive force one way or the other regarding the rescue and resurgence of Britain, the general public is truly irrelevant.

The great majority of existing members of the nationalist parties, and great majority of those who may become members through electioneering and will be in the nature of things people of poor quality, part-timers, on talk, big on beer, small on effort, wanting to be entertained, giving little and requiring as much if not more to keep them in place, poor on staying power, so many of them losing heart or interest after a while.



So it is that the party is always like a bath with the tap running but the plug pulled out. They reflect the hard fact that people at large are not by nature fitted to be political workers and fighters, but only bystanders occasional auxiliaries and suppliers of some money.

PARTY CURSE OF COMPROMISE

The form of organisation which is the party is thus condemnable because the ostensible cause of combating Democracy it perpetrates the self- defeating contradiction of throwing itself open to the products of that Democracy, and seeking outside the approval of these products at large as access to and sanction for state power.

This inevitably means, sooner or later, major compromise. It cannot be otherwise. The process is a vicious circle. The recruited members of the public, being carriers of the psychological disease of Democracy, maybe subtly but no less surely stamp their character on the organisation, influencing policy and practice towards compromise.

The leaders of the party, under the pressure of the bulk of the members, are led into compromise in order to retain their support. They are furthermore led into it in order to attract new support from outside. The dominant inclination in a party is thus to adapt, omit, tone down, however debilitating this trimming is to the purported policy for national resurgence, wherever original principles come to be experienced as severely clashing with the prejudices and shortcomings of the recruited members and the solicited public; prejudices and shortcomings induced and fostered by the very promoters of degeneration in power at present.

Thus a party by its nature imports the thought, spirit and habits of Democracy, and is in constant danger of succumbing to them, being in this fashion taken over by democracy, and rendered incapable of conquering it. Not surprisingly, the vast majority of the members of nationalist parties, heavily infected with the way of thinking of Democracy, are readily responsive to, indeed always eagerly expectant of Democracy's game of electioneering.

One of the prime agitators for electioneering in one of these parties recently proclaimed that " ...nothing enthuses party members and activists like a General Election ..." as he passionately proceeded to applaud and promote this propensity.



Either incapable of perceiving the arguments against electioneering or incapable of pursuing the alternative paths to power, the votaries of electioneering are instantly aroused to feverish excitement by the bustle of this conventional competition, forfeiting with alacrity what circumspection they otherwise possess.

By their shallow disposition they are forever itching to stampede to the polls, to the feeding pens, and intent on making their leaders aware their favourite exercise which they fondly want and first and foremost expect.

The tiny minority, already present in the party or to come to it in consequence of its General Election publicity, who are capable of higher things than the dull round of party activity amid beery gossip ballot box delusions, can but be disabled and depressed by dispersal the mass of mediocre or even more deficient members, instead of being selectively recognised and set apart so that their superiority can put to best advantage.

This lumping together of the majority, who do and give little with tiny minority who do most of the work and provide most of the money in consequence are an elite, has been defended by one of the national leaders as a mixture beneficial to the party because the comparatively inert majority help the party with some financial contributions and literature purchases.

This advocacy of combination disregards the fact that these minor benefits could be coaxed from them without incorporating them in the organisation, instead dealing with them as auxiliaries outside thus without the nullifying cost of accommodating them inside, including the drag and deterrent they exert on the elite.

It is an advocacy that comes strangely from a man fond of military analogies, since it is comparable to the advocacy of combining in one and the same unit back line pioneer Corps and front line Parachute personnel, a practice shunned by the British Army and every other army in the world.

While the BNP is currently foreshortening the election debate to the matter simply of contesting for the sake of television and radio time, whereby access to the public and resulting recruitment can be gained, this cannot be taken as the end of the line, but only as the beginning.

Having started out on the election road, this and any such party will inevitably carried further along that road by the unleashed motive force involved in electioneering. This means,

incontestably, a permanent tendency to compromise as the price of soliciting the votes of the masses.

This is so because the masses, being in the state they are thanks to the success of Democracy in using the media in its possession to mould their minds, will never accept what is really necessary for national and racial salvation.

Any alternative party which failed to compromise heavily, reducing its message to flattery and fleshy bribes and thus imitating the old parties of Democracy whose purpose is to keep the masses content with their exploitation in their degraded state as darkness falls, would be presenting to them a message essentially offensive to their vanity and taste in its appeal to the higher considerations of life above the lower. It would, therefore, not win their general support.

The masses will not vote for their own upliftment in a higher order of society. This has to be accomplished without their support and request, despite them and indeed against them. It is absurd to pivot your whole project, as does a conventional party, on the submission of change to the decision of those who so need to be changed. You might as well make the case for law and order conditional on the approval of the criminals.

So the name of the party game, if it is to be played successfully, has to be compromise, compromise and still more compromise. The religious issue, namely the need to replace ruinous Christianity which is at the very bottom of our troubles, has to be avoided in a major move of self - emasculation in the cause of acceptability, so as not to lose the votes of all the Christians.

Freemasonry, another instrument of corruption, has to be avoided, so as not to forfeit the votes of all the freemasons. The odious royal tribe has to be preserved from requisite condemnation, if not spoken favourably of, so as not to lose the votes of the multitude of doting admirers.

The foul din of the jungle called "rock", so immensely damaging to our younger generation has to be compromised with too, at least to the extent of self-censorship if not explicit acceptance (as was officially awarded in an item in the December, 1996, issue of the BNP magazine, *Spearhead*).

Queers, being now so numerous and obtrusive as a natural result of the system of perversion known as Democracy, compromise with them is similarly called for in the quest for popularity and electoral success.

If enough votes are not obtained through a certain amount of compromise, then playing the party game more and more compromise is needed. If, eventually, such a self-mutilating, new party did happen to win its way to state power as a government, you can take it as certain that the long-standing habit of compromise would have become so ingrained as to keep it permanently on the path of compromise to retain the state power acquired by the same means.

Thus the nominally new party would become in fact nothing new at all. In a process of compromise it would come more and more to resemble the parties of Democracy it was supposed to replace.

HAIDER, LE PEN, FINI: THE WAY OF SELF-DEFEAT

Those in Britain who argue for electioneering as the way to power by courting popularity by trimming policy appropriately heavily rely on citing the electoral advances of "new" parties in Austria, France and Italy as proof of what can be achieved this way, and should be copied in Britain.

What they do not candidly concede in the presentation of their argument is that the trimming they have in mind is so deep and extensive in its effect on principle as to change the nature of the party to that of more of a conformist than a rebel. All three of the supposedly "new" parties they have in mind have compromised themselves out of the latter category into the former.

The nearer a "new" party draws to the policy and practice of the old parties, the nearer it gets to the electoral support the latter obtain. It can always advance in popularity by retreating further and further away from the principles required for real resurgence. The reward for this contortion in the course of the party game is not the reality of success. It is the reality of self-defeat.

In projection of this mirage of success, we have held up to us the example in Austria of Jorg Haider and his "Freedom Party" which gained 28% of the Austrian vote in the November, 1996, European Parliament elections.

Its deputy leader now in that European Parliament happens to be a Peter Sichrovsky, identified by the London *Jewish Chronicle* (22 November, 1996) as a Jew.

The *Washington Post* (U.S.A.; 1 December, 1996) said of Haider, "He disavows any plans for wholesale expulsions and stresses that he only wants to throw out illegal aliens and

toughen citizenship laws. He also says that Turks and other immigrants from Islamic nations are welcome to stay if they adapt to Western secular traditions."

Will something like this crass compromise be attractive to election enthusiasts in the BNP as policy enough to present to the British public in respect of Britain's Coloured Invasion?

As we in Britain await the Labour Party's projected penalisation of "holocaust" denial, will those same election enthusiasts be happy to know that Haider the trimmer is already doing the job for our enemies of suppressing denial of this dogma of Democracy? He has expelled from his party a member who dared to deny the Jewish propaganda. (*Sunday Times*, London, 17 December, 1995).

From France we have held up to us the example of Jean-Marie Le Pen and his vote-chasing National Front. "Le Pen has indeed consistently supported Israel and in February 1987 met with representatives of 24 American-Jewish organisations with whom he had 'positive and cordial' exchanges," reported *Right Now*, (U.K., No. 10, 1996) quoting an interview in the *Jerusalem Report* of 27 February, 1992.

In a biography of Le Pen, who is a long-time friend of Robert Hemmerdinger, vice-president of the national committee of French Jews, the novelist Roger Mauge wrote: "Before the meeting I had engaged in conversation with a young Jewish French doctor from Amiens, a member of the FN since 1988. He was fiercely proud to tell me of his huge admiration for Le Pen and that whatever the FN's detractors may claim, it is not racist but a nationalist party and one with hundreds of active Jewish members, likewise black and brown members and supporters ..." (*Right Now*, U.K., No. 10).

Will those same BNP enthusiasts for electioneering endorse the same course of compromise for the BNP, namely cordial arrangements with Jewish organisations, and the admission of numerous Jews and Coloureds as members? From Italy we have held up to us the example of Gianfranco Fini and the National Alliance into which by drastic compromise he has turned the former fascistic, MSI.

How drastic that compromise has been is shown by that a National Alliance delegation visited Israel in August of 1995 to meet Ephraim Zuroff of the baleful, Los Angeles-based Simon Wiesenthal Center, and in the following September Zuroff went to Rome to meet Fini at the party headquarters.

Will those same BNP election enthusiasts who look to and acclaim Fini as a model, as they do regarding Haider and Le Pen, be prepared to follow suit and invite Zuroff to London to discuss co-operation in the pursuit of what are called "Nazi war criminals"?

The Institute for Jewish Policy's 1996 Anti-Semitism World Report analysed the electoral advances of Haider, Le Pen, Fini and the like as being the consequence of seeking respectability by rejecting overt anti-Semitism.

Are the election enthusiasts of Britain's nationalist parties similarly prepared to sacrifice principle in respect of the Jewish problem for the sake of attaining respectability? Some have already shown so.

The lure of electoral success has already caused supposedly "new" parties in Russia to compromise decisively in this respect. Nikola Lysenko, a former leader of Pamyat, has got himself elected to the Duma, the lower house of parliament, after renouncing anti-Semitism and declaring Israel is "Russia's strategic ally" (*Jewish Chronicle*, London, 31 March).

Vladimir Zhirinovsky, leader of the reputedly nationalist "Liberal Democratic Party", has put himself on record as stressing that he views Jews as equal citizens, which is not so surprising since his own father was Jewish (*Jewish Chronicle*, London, 14 June, 1996).

In holding up as models for the BNP the performance of these parties of abject compromise, one of the BNP's chief agitators for electioneering by drastic compromise, a Tony Lecomber, has gone almost the whole way in selling out principle for the sake of expediency. In *Spearhead* (March, 1996) he has poured scorn on fascism and National Socialism as something "old, stale and unsaleable", terming any association with Hitler's National-Socialist Germany "the kiss of death".

It only remains for this gentleman to consummate what he no doubt considers to be his smartness by coming out explicitly as anti-Hitler, as have certain other nationalist bodies in Britain already, that much more to curry favour with the moronic masses, and to seek to appease the enemy.

Contrary to the misconception of this misleading Mr Lecomber, National Socialism is not a dead creed but a living one whose principles remain as valid today as ever in the past. Properly understood, National Socialism is neither restricted to the ways and means of the past in pursuit of those principles,

nor it is driven to compromise those principles in order to pursue different ways and means in different times, such as now.

The commanding requirement is simply that changes must always be consonant with and not conflicting with those principles. That the brainwashed public is currently opposed to National Socialism, which they misunderstand due to media misinformation, is no valid argument for shedding National Socialism to appease that public.

Instead, power has to be pursued in all ways possible which are consistent with the inviolate preservation of our principles, and these do not include the party game and electioneering.

STOPPING A REBEL PARTY

If by chance a new party not only retained its soul from ruinous compromise but also gained enough votes to become something of a real the controllers of Democracy — in combination a miracle — you can be absolutely certain that those controllers would bring about in one way or another the exclusion of that new party from state power.

They would stop at nothing in putting a stop to the attempt to overthrow them. For them not to do so does not make sense, and does not fit with experience.

So what is our experience bearing out this conclusion? We might begin the answer 60 years back, when the British Union of Fascists looked like a growing threat. Then the holders of state power brought in the 1936 Public Order Act banning political uniforms to stop the great advantage the BUF was gaining from their use.

Over the past 30 years the holders of state power have brought in law after law increasingly to stifle our essential, racial message in our fight against ruinous Democracy. They are still at it, planning further laws to ban questioning the alleged, Jewish "Holocaust" and to prohibit virtually any racial expression not complimentary to the Jews and the coloureds.

At the same time as they have advanced in the use of outright, explicit prohibition they have as their other arm of suppression advanced in implicit prohibition in practice by the denial of the necessary facilities campaigning by a party of revolt.

Banning by way of police and Home Office exclusion and harassment has come to prevail in the matter of outdoor demonstrations and marches and meetings. One prominent

example the exclusion of our sort of people from Trafalgar Square, London's leading, open-air meeting place, ever since 1962, when the NSM there proclaimed "Hitler was Right"!

Yet ever since then every kind of racial minority from all over the globe has been allowed to use it. Indoor gatherings have been made extremely difficult, if not yet entirely impossible, by pressure on the owners of halls, joined to a tolerance of attacks on meetings by rampaging Reds.

While the sly preference of Democracy is to ban indirectly by the impositions of handicaps, if this failed to be sufficient to prevent a new party of revolt, intact in its principles, from approaching anywhere near to state power it is inconceivable that the present holders of power, who shown how ruthlessly they will impede such a new party, will allow that new party to attain a victory at the ballot box, and sweep them from power.

While quite content, as long as that new party distant from that power, to let it squander its resources in electioneering, pocketing its forfeited deposits, once the dictators of Democracy estimate the danger line has been reached you can be certain that they will outlaw the rebel party as "criminal" in its "racism" or "subversion, fabricating anything and everything necessary to this end.

Already the path towards outlawing, if necessary, is being prepared. one route already in place lies in the United Nations International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, adopted by the General Assembly and ratified by 129 states by the beginning of 1992.

Its Article 4 (a) prohibits (1) All dissemination of ideas based on racial superiority, and (3) incitement to racial discrimination, and (6) the provision of any assistance to racist activities.

Consider how easily these sections could be stretched to envelop the BNP and put it out of business! Already the European Commission of Human Rights in a case designated Request No 6741/74, X v. Italy, has agreed that seeking to reconstitute a fascist party is a criminal offence, and already such as the BNP is dubbed a "fascist party".

In Germany ever since 1945 it has been rated a criminal offence to seek to establish a fascist or National-Socialist party, although the NSDAP in elections and referendums had the support of a transcendent proportion of the German people, who thus were in effect disenfranchised in 1945 by the power-wielders of the Great Pretence.



Already the European Commission of Human Rights case designated Request 9 and 8406/78, Glimmerveen and others v. Netherlands, it has been laid down that Holland was correct in imprisoning the Chairman of the Nederlandse Volks Unie, a party supporting ethnic homogeneity for the country, for the offence of issuing an election manifesto expressing this; confiscating the election manifesto; and correct in invalidating the electoral lists bearing the Chairman's name; all on the grounds of "racial discrimination".

How much longer do you think it will be in Britain before, in line with such international rulings, it becomes prohibited to stand for a Britain for the British, prohibited to advocate discrimination in favour of our own, prohibited to oppose further Coloured immigration into Britain, and to advocate repatriation of the Coloured immigrants already here?

The dictators of Democracy, besides being able to act against the BNP on the grounds of "racial discrimination", will also be able to act on the grounds of "subversion"

Major-General Frank Kitson, expert on "counter subversion," gave the following definition of "subversion" in his book, *Low Intensity Operations* (Barrie & Rockcliff, 1971): "Subversion, then, will be held to mean all measures short of the use of armed force taken by one section of the people of a country to overthrow those governing the country at the time or to force them to do things they do not want to do."

This echoes the statement of Britain's Director General of Military Intelligence 5, otherwise known as the "Security Service", who in June, 1994, proclaimed: "The intention to undermine democracy is what 'subversion' means to us."

Those who over 30 years ago in the Spearhead Trial of 1962 were able to convict leaders of the then National Socialist Movement, including myself, of creating some sort of private army because they had organised a stewarding force for defensive purposes only against Red and Jewish violence should have no great difficulty in contriving a successful prosecution against the BNP for "subversion", securing the imprisonment of its leaders and the banning of the organisation.

ELECTIONEERING: DEAD END ROAD OF FAILURE

In summary, from the survey which has been made the inescapable conclusions which arise are, firstly, that electioneering is a colossal mistake, tragically consuming the time and effort and money so sorely needed for other, truly

productive pursuits of power. Even in the very short-term analysis as a means now to a media appearance and thereby recruiting it is not worthwhile. The quality and productivity of the recruitment will not be commensurate with the cost and effort of obtaining it. The 1997 General Election operation will thus prove a failure. In the long term, electioneering will fail to advance the party in the face of the overwhelming power and effect of a hostile media, unless an utterly ruinous extent of compromise is undertaken, which is in itself a form of utter failure.

If it does not fail through cancerous compromise, it will fail nevertheless because the dictators of Democracy will intervene to crush it by the imposition of impediments or the imposition of a ban. The vote-chasing herald of compromise, Tony Lecomber, calls his pipe dream "the electoral motorway".

Actually it is no more than a dead end track to nowhere. A few occasions may occur when an abnormally substantial vote is obtained, maybe even an occasion of stark rarity when a winning one in a solitary constituency is obtained, but on any sober appraisal this is not to be taken as any indication of the beginning of some marvellous breakthrough to power, but only a temporary derangement in an otherwise competently restrictive system.

The second, certain conclusion is that a political party today is an instrument of failure in the pursuit of power because its overriding concern is quantity before quality. Accordingly, in drawing in all grades of people, it draws in the defects of Democracy, and in combining all those grades in one and the same organisation, it deprives those of quality of the opportunity to function at their best because of the encumbrance of the mediocre members who are the majority.

It is said that a political party at the very least serves to provide a mustering facility whereby persons capable of better things, those with the potentiality to function as an elite, can be initially detected and contacted. However, even in such a secondary role it is a failure because it equally serves to bring people to the notice of the enemy, both the surveillance agencies of the authorities and the agents of other hostile forces. Such contacting has thus to be avoided.

Recruiting for the Vanguard has to be pursued outside and away from the overt functions and visible presence of a political party as a matter of the most elementary security.

It has to be done by discreet, private approach to particular individuals of seeming suitability, and in no other way. I have no hesitation in the outcome not of mere, armchair theorising, but the experience of some 50 years of political activity of almost every kind from the distribution of hundreds of thousands of items of literature, speeches at meetings galore, electioneering both local and national, and personal participation in an underground force which eluded apprehension by the authorities in proclaiming the political party and its inseparable electioneering to be hopelessly unfitted for our needs today in pursuing power.

I am absolutely convinced that, if we persist in occupying ourselves with a structure and a field of operation which has proved itself a failure, we will lose our very last chance of victory.

I am sure that the very beginning of hope of victory lies in the complete rejection of the political party as an instrument, and thereby the liberation of the elite from the crippling clutches of this egalitarian structure. In thus firmly rejecting the party game and its electioneering, it is clearly incumbent on us to go beyond a condemnation which is purely negative, however compelling its logic, and constructively supply an alternative of convincing efficacy to complete the argument.

In this we have overall to show two things. Firstly, we have to show that we are not destructively arguing for a withdrawal into a retirement from action in which to dote on the past to the exclusion of the present and future which would be to pervert National Socialism into a cult of nostalgia akin to that of the souvenir and fancy dress playboys who are satisfied with a Hollywood Nazi role to the delight of the enemy and the damage of our cause.

Secondly, we have to show that we are thoroughly practical, being both thoroughly innovative and yet thoroughly averse to indulgence in fanciful aspirations beyond our current competence. Hence at the outset let it be made abundantly clear that—contrary to any attempt by those of a contrary persuasion to cast us into ridicule by misrepresentation—we are not in the business of engrossment in juvenile fantasies of armed uprisings now or in the near future which are just about as crazy as the dreams of ballot box victory by those of a contrary persuasion. If there is to be any future whatsoever for Aryan civilisation, the time will come for the physical seizure



of state power by our people, be certain of it as the only way of acquiring that state power, but that time is nowhere near. That time will only arrive by way of sufficient, preparatory undermining of the present system, which will take a long time and an immensity of effort to accomplish, and to which all attention and resources must from now on be devoted in place of the waste on futile, political parties.

What has to be grasped is that, appropriate to present conditions and our present circumstances in those conditions, the need of the day is for guerrilla activities.

Open and frontal confrontation, as with marches and other conventional demonstrations and public meetings and the canvassing and picketing of electioneering, play into the hands of the far more numerous forces of the enemy, giving the big the chance to overwhelm the small, and which may show the bravery of the latter, but also most certainly shows its tactical stupidity.

At this point, preparatory to examining what needs to be done in place of the party game, we should be reminded of our opening definition of our objective. This is the pursuit; of power in all its forms contributory to the salvation, upliftment and prosperity of the Aryan peoples. This means that we have at the start to break free from the mental confinement of conventional politics whereby political power is seen as singularly synonymous with state power, and thus the matter alone of attaining the power to form a government of the state with the ensuing power to arrange the society of that state as desired.

Power exists wherever the opportunity and the capacity to implement National Socialism is to be found, and it is our job to identify and utilise all such forms of power in the outcome of a comprehensive study of the subject. This totality of perception of power accords with our totality of perception of our cause.

FORMS OF POWER TO PURSUE

In this we are brought, firstly, to recognise the most basic power of all: that of the individual to conduct his or her personal life as a full- time, fully developed National-Socialist, daily living the National-Socialist way, and thus detaching himself or herself as far as possible from the society and life of Democracy.

Here we come up against a distinguishing defect of the nationalist party which is that, so accommodating to the

human products of Democracy, so intent on reaching out in electioneering to those products, most of its members in the pursuit of state power lack the understanding and application of this personal power. They babble incessantly about what they will do to put the state right when they win at the ballot box, all the time showing their sheer inability to put themselves and their families right. They cannot even govern their own homes and bring up their own children properly, let alone change the country for the better.

In so far as power comes through knowledge, the study - both by individuals on their own and by specialising teams—of everything pertaining to our struggle from the extent of our racial heritage to the workings of the enemy state and the enemy forces, as a prelude to successful action against that state and those forces, is a vital aspect of the pursuit of power.

The systematic engagement in this prerequisite for success in that pursuit has to be a distinction of the purposeful and effective elite in contrast to the ignorant and impotent amateurs of the political party who regard vote-chasing as the be-all and end-all of political life.

Power in the form of influence exists in the ability of specialist teams to perform spectacular, propaganda operations which achieve an impact out of all proportion to the effort expended, and which is far beyond that of the customary propaganda of a political party. This is to be achieved through the combination of a highly imaginative selection of daring targets and the highly competent preparation and execution of operations by well-organised and well-trained personnel.

Here, contrary to the political party with its electioneering, the purpose is not to try to solicit the votes and the membership of the public at large, but specifically to stimulate discontent with and disruption of the system, creating sympathy for rebellion as preparation for the day when a seizure of state power becomes feasible because of the breakdown of the system.

Come that day, the public will then at last become of real importance, not as active participants in the actual seizure, which will be the highly specialised task of the Vanguard, but, beyond mere abstention from active opposition, as sympathetic suppliers of auxiliary help. In this way and to this extent the consent of the people, however tacit, is ultimately needed, and therefore all along to be encouraged.

SABOTAGE THE SYSTEM!

Major, even decisive, power exists in the ability of specialist teams with the necessary aptitude, knowledge and training to strike at the delicate and vulnerable workings of the present system, inflicting serious damage contributory to its desired break down.

This break down, which alone can provide the opportunity for the seizure of state power—break break through only coming through break down—is not something simply to be waited for as the culmination of the inherent strains and defects of the system, however important they are as factors to be taken advantage of.

Contrary to those who realise that only a break down can bring a break through, but then treat it as something which they can only wait for as bystanders, so that their realisation becomes an excuse for inaction, a breakdown is something which has to be brought on through the constant application of acts of sabotage, impeding and dislocating the machinery of government.

This conception of power through sabotage is most definitely not some facile and fanciful notion devoid of practicality and efficacy. It is an unconventional one of high potentiality meriting the most careful consideration.

Among so much else, it includes, as a mild but nevertheless worthy form, the sabotage of the electoral process of Democracy, this process being vital to its Great Pretence. In place of participation in this process, contributory to its continuation, involved in the electioneering of nationalist parties, we have to urge strict abstention through the message of "Don't Vote!"

Alongside this imperative and invaluable disruption of the machinery of the present system, there is much scope for the disruption of enemy persons and organisations to counter their disruptive activities against us.

For example, it is disgraceful that the Jewish Searchlight gang has been able for decades to continue to infiltrate nationalist parties and National-Socialist organisations, molest their members, and bring pressure to bear on owners of halls and hotels to refuse or to cancel bookings.

Appropriate Vanguard personnel should be quite quickly capable of putting this gang out of business, and keeping them out. Likewise for another example, it is disgraceful that the impudent Asian, Ameer Anwar, who desecrated and destroyed



the Rudolf Hess memorial in Scotland several years ago, has been allowed to go unpunished ever since.

Activities here indicated are all necessarily covert ones, and one rule which has to be stressed and stressed is that covert and overt activities and personnel must never be mixed for the most obvious reason of security. Having established this firm rule for the Vanguard, it can be mentioned that, strictly for those who have no intention now or in the future of engaging in covert activities, one highly purposeful, overt activity open to such Vanguard personnel, and which in its exercise of a form of power is not to be ignored as unworthy in comparison to covert activities, is the promotion of one form or another of National-Socialist community.

Therein, National Socialism can be put into practice on a small scale as far as possible, the community resembling a National-Socialist state in miniature, and in this constituting some seizure of power from Democracy. Some of the alternatives to electioneering in the party game have now been briefly mentioned here to show that such exist.

BIOGRAPHICAL NOTE

Born 1923. Education leading to the study of history at Cambridge University, 1946-49, there graduating with an Honours Degree. Subsequently a representative for the north of Scotland for a major firm, and then a teacher until banned from teaching because of out-of-school activities. Active in a succession of political organisations between 1946 and 1962, in which latter year founded and led the National Socialist Movement. Imprisoned in 1962 for organizing an elite formation in that Movement and for a "Hitler Was Right!" speech in London's Trafalgar Square. Again imprisoned in 1967 for literature on the issues of Jews and Coloured immigration. Headed the British Movement, which replaced the N.S.M. in 1968, till withdrawal from it in 1975 because of personal commitments. Since then has confined himself to writing and to literature sales.




Colin Jordan in the uniform of the National Socialist Movement, 1962.

POSTSCRIPT TO THE 2011 EDITION

After a lifetime of service to his race, John Colin Campbell Jordan passed away on 9 April 2009 at his home north of Harrogate, Yorkshire, aged 86 years.

Companion volume to this book:

National Socialism: Vanguard of the Future
Selected writings by Colin Jordan



Colin Jordan was one of the most influential postwar National Socialists activists and thinkers, with a political career that spanned over 40 years.

This book, first published in 1993, expounds his views on topics of historical and current interest. It is divided into three sections and contains eleven essays in total.

These essays discuss Adolf Hitler, denounce Strasserites and "Hollywood Nazis," Richard Walther Darré's Green movement and Rudolf Hess's death, before outlining the philosophical principles of National Socialism and makes some practical suggestions for obtaining political power.

THE NATIONAL VANGUARD: The Way Forward

Colin Jordan was one of the most influential postwar National Socialists activists and thinkers, with a political career that spanned over 40 years.

This book contains the complete six-part study first published by Colin Jordan in his newsletter, *Gothic Ripples*.


It details the author's development of a number of principles, including his understanding that

- Democracy is a hoax designed to perpetuate power by society's real controllers;**
- Participation in party politics is pointless;**
- Christianity is incompatible with National Socialism;**
- An alternative method of seizing power outside of the ballot box.**

Included is a discussion on eugenics and race.

**Revisionist Books
revisionistbooks.com**

ISBN 978-1-64633-612-8



9 781646 336128

90000

